Removal of Spindly from microtubule-attached kinetochores controls spindle checkpoint silencing in human cells
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The spindle checkpoint generates a “wait anaphase” signal at unattached kinetochores to prevent premature anaphase onset. Kinetochore-localized dynein is thought to silence the checkpoint by transporting checkpoint proteins from microtubule-attached kinetochores to spindle poles. Throughout metazoans, dynein recruitment to kinetochores requires the protein Spindly. Here, we identify a conserved motif in Spindly that is essential for kinetochore targeting of dynein. Spindly motif mutants, expressed following depletion of endogenous Spindly, target normally to kinetochores but prevent dynein recruitment. Spindly depletion and Spindly motif mutants, despite their similar effects on kinetochore dynein, have opposite consequences on chromosome alignment and checkpoint silencing. Spindly depletion delays chromosome alignment, but Spindly motif mutants ameliorate this defect, indicating that Spindly has a dynein recruitment-independent role in alignment. In Spindly depletions, the checkpoint is silenced following delayed alignment by a kinetochore dynein-independent mechanism. In contrast, Spindly motif mutants are retained on microtubule-attached kinetochores along with checkpoint proteins, resulting in persistent checkpoint signaling. Thus, dynein-mediated removal of Spindly from microtubule-attached kinetochores, rather than poleward transport per se, is the critical reaction in checkpoint silencing. In the absence of Spindly, a second mechanism silences the checkpoint; this mechanism is likely evolutionarily ancient, as fungi and higher plants lack kinetochore dynein.
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cofactor, dynactin, which are recruited to the outer kinetochore via the Rod/Zwilch/Zw10 (RZZ) complex (Williams et al. 1992; Starr et al. 1998; Scalérou et al. 2001). In contrast to the KMN network and spindle checkpoint proteins, the RZZ complex, kinetochore-localized dynein/dynactin, and CENP-E are present in only the metazoan lineage.

A single unattached kinetochore can delay cell cycle progression (Rieder et al. 1995). Structural studies, reconstitution experiments, and checkpoint protein dynamics suggest that the checkpoint signal involves a catalytic step based on the conformational conversion of Mad2 (Howell et al. 2004; Luo et al. 2004; Shah et al. 2004; De Antoni et al. 2005). Current models envision a stably bound complex of Mad1 and “closed” Mad2 at unattached kinetochores that templates the conversion of “open” Mad2 in the soluble pool to the “closed” conformer competent to bind and inhibit Cdc20 (Mapelli and Musacchio 2007; Luo and Yu 2008).

Kinetochore-localized dynein/dynactin is thought to have a key role in checkpoint silencing by removing the catalytic Mad1/Mad2 scaffold and other checkpoint proteins from kinetochores upon microtubule attachment. Abrogation of dynein-mediated poleward transport by direct inhibitions of dynein/dynactin leads to the partial retention of Mad2 at aligned bioriented kinetochores with a normal microtubule complement and persistence of checkpoint signaling (Howell et al. 2001; Wojcik et al. 2001; Vergnolle and Taylor 2007; Mische et al. 2008; Sivaram et al. 2009). In addition to its proposed role in checkpoint silencing, kinetochore dynein/dynactin has been implicated in microtubule capture and transient poleward chromosome movement (Z. Yang et al. 2007; Varma et al. 2008; Vorozhko et al. 2008).

The RZZ complex recruits dynein via a conserved kinetochore-specific dynein recruitment factor, called Spindly (Griffis et al. 2007; Gassmann et al. 2008; Yamamoto et al. 2008; Chan et al. 2009). Paradoxically, in contrast to direct dynein inhibitions, the spindle checkpoint appears to be silenced following microtubule attachment in Spindly-depleted human cells (Chan et al. 2009). This observation sheds doubt on the dominant model for checkpoint silencing in vertebrate somatic cells. There are three possible explanations of the difference between direct dynein inhibitions and Spindly depletion. First, checkpoint proteins may be removed from kinetochores by nonkinetochore dynein (Chan et al. 2009). Second, direct dynein inhibition may activate the checkpoint, as opposed to preventing its silencing. Third, kinetochore dynein may be essential for checkpoint silencing when Spindly is present, but may become dispensable in Spindly’s absence. To distinguish between these possibilities, we generated single amino acid changes in a conserved motif in Spindly that do not affect its kinetochore targeting, but prevent it from recruiting dynein to kinetochores. Analysis of these mutants provided support for the third possibility, indicating that kinetochore dynein-mediated removal of Spindly is the critical reaction governing checkpoint silencing. In addition, we uncovered a dynein recruitment-independent function of Spindly at kinetochores that is central to the efficient alignment of chromosomes during prometaphase.

Results

Point mutations in the conserved Spindly motif uncouple kinetochore localization of Spindly from dynein/dynactin recruitment

Immunofluorescence using an antibody generated against full-length human Spindly revealed that it is nuclear in interphase, concentrates at unattached kinetochores and spindle poles in prometaphase, and is no longer detectable at kinetochores or spindle poles by metaphase (Fig. 1A; Supplemental Fig. S1A). In the absence of microtubules, Spindly expanded to a crescent-like morphology, indicating that it is a component of the fibrous corona (Fig. 1B; Supplemental Fig. S1B), similar to dynein/dynactin and checkpoint proteins (Hoffman et al. 2001). Depletion of the RZZ subunit Zw10 confirmed that Spindly functions downstream from the RZZ complex (Supplemental Fig. S1C; Griffis et al. 2007; Gassmann et al. 2008; Chan et al. 2009). We tested four siRNAs to knock down Spindly in HeLa cells, and chose one siRNA that depleted the protein to undetectable levels on a single-cell basis by immunofluorescence and to >95% by immunoblot (Fig. 1B). In agreement with previous work in Caenorhabditis elegans and human cells (Gassmann et al. 2008; Chan et al. 2009), Spindly depletion prevented the kinetochore localization of both dynein and dynactin (Fig. 1C; Supplemental Fig. S1D). However, Spindly depletion did not affect dynein/dynactin localization to the spindle, spindle poles, and cell cortex, or to microtubule plus ends in interphase cells (Supplemental Fig. S1E–G; data not shown). Furthermore, the dynein/dynactin-binding partner NuMA was localized normally to spindle poles following Spindly depletion (Supplemental Fig. S1H). We conclude that Spindly depletion specifically perturbs the recruitment of dynein/dynactin to unattached kinetochores without affecting their localization to other structures in the cell.

Human Spindly was reported in qualitative analysis to be dispensable for the removal of Mad2 from attached kinetochores (Chan et al. 2009). In contrast, direct inhibitions of dynein/dynactin result in the retention of Mad2 at aligned kinetochores (Howell et al. 2001; Vergnolle and Taylor 2007; Varma et al. 2008; Sivaram et al. 2009). To address this apparent contradiction and gain insight into the mechanism of checkpoint silencing in human cells, we investigated the relationship between Spindly and kinetochore-localized dynein/dynactin. Biochemical analysis of Spindly from mitotic HeLa cell extracts failed to reveal a clear association between soluble Spindly and dynein/dynactin components. We therefore focused on the only conserved region in Spindly—a short motif that was used to define this protein family (Fig. 1D). The absolute conservation of this motif against a near-complete divergence of the rest of the protein sequence (which is largely a predicted coiled-coil) indicates that it is part of a critical functional interaction. We mutated
either of the two conserved residues S256 and F258 in human Spindly to alanine and assessed whether this change affected kinetochore localization of Spindly or its ability to recruit dynein/dynactin to kinetochores.

To analyze the Spindly motif point mutants, we integrated tetracycline-inducible RNAi-resistant (RR) GFP fusion constructs into a single genomic locus in HeLa cells by Flp-mediated DNA recombination. This approach made it possible to combine specific depletion of endogenous Spindly with expression of Spindly transgenes, either mutant or wild type, at identical, near-endogenous levels (Fig. 1E). GFP^{RR}_{\text{Spindly WT}} and the two mutant
proteins GFP:RRSpindlyS256A and GFP:RRSpindlyF258A all localized robustly to kinetochores in cells depleted of endogenous Spindly [Fig. 1F,G]. This indicates that the Spindly motif is not required for its kinetochore localization. GFP:RRSpindlyWT rescued dynein/dynactin recruitment to unattached kinetochores following depletion of endogenous Spindly, but expression of GFP:RRSpindlyF258A or GFP:RRSpindlyS256A did not [Fig. 1F,G]. We conclude that mutations in the conserved Spindly motif uncouple its kinetochore localization from its ability to recruit dynein/dynactin to kinetochores.

Spindly-mediated targeting of dynein/dynactin to kinetochores is required for the poleward transport of checkpoint proteins

Both Spindly depletion and the Spindly motif mutants prevent recruitment of dynein/dynactin specifically to kinetochores without globally perturbing its function. If kinetochore-localized dynein, as opposed to nonkinetochore dynein, drives poleward transport of checkpoint proteins, this transport should be inhibited in both perturbations. To test this, we used a previously described assay [Howell et al. 2000] in which ATP levels are reduced by treatment with azide and deoxyglucose [Fig. 2A]. Dynein transport still occurs under these conditions and moves checkpoint proteins to spindle poles, where they accumulate. Spindly itself also accumulates readily at spindle poles under these conditions [Fig. 2B; Chan et al. 2009]. Mad1, Mad2, Zwilch, and CENP-E all accumulated at spindle poles after ATP reduction in control cells. In contrast, accumulation of these proteins at spindle poles was not observed in Spindly-depleted cells [Fig. 2C; Supplemental Fig. S2A]. We also examined the localization in DLD-1 cells of a C-terminal CENP-E tail fragment [Chan et al. 1998], which is initially localized at kinetochores and subsequently prominently accumulates at spindle poles, even in the absence of azide/deoxyglucose treatment. In cells depleted of Spindly, the CENP-E tail fragment no longer accumulated at spindle poles, providing independent confirmation of the results obtained with the ATP reduction assay [Supplemental Fig. S2B; Supplemental Movie S1]. Thus, Spindly is required for the minus end-directed transport of checkpoint proteins along kinetochore fibers.

We next performed the ATP reduction assay with the Spindly motif mutants. As observed for endogenous Spindly, GFP:RRSpindlyWT accumulated at spindle poles upon treatment with azide/deoxyglucose [Fig. 2D]. In contrast, the GFP:RRSpindlyF258A and GFP:RRSpindlyS256A mutants failed to accumulate at spindle poles [Fig. 2D,E]. Furthermore, whereas GFP:RRSpindlyWT supported poleward transport, both motif mutants failed to facilitate transport of Mad1 and Mad2 to spindle poles [Fig. 2E; Supplemental Fig. S2C]. We conclude that Spindly depletion and replacement of endogenous Spindly with Spindly motif mutants, both of which prevent dynein/dynactin recruitment to kinetochores, inhibit poleward transport of checkpoint proteins. As neither of these perturbations globally inhibits dynein/dynactin or perturbs its localization to other structures, these results support the model that it is specifically the kinetochore-localized pool of dynein/dynactin that transports checkpoint proteins from kinetochores to spindle poles.

A kinetochore dynein-independent mechanism is capable of removing checkpoint proteins from bioriented kinetochores in the absence of Spindly

Preventing poleward transport of checkpoint proteins by direct dynein/dynactin inhibitions causes their retention at bioriented kinetochores. As both Spindly and its ability to recruit dynein/dynactin are required for poleward transport and Spindly depletion and Spindly motif mutants on kinetochore levels of checkpoint proteins.

We began by quantifying the levels of Mad1, Mad2, BubR1, Zwilch, and CENP-E in immunofluorescence images of early prometaphase and metaphase kinetochores in control and Spindly-depleted cells. The majority of Spindly-depleted cells had a metaphase plate with several uncongressed chromosomes, and we quantified kinetochore levels of checkpoint proteins on both sets of chromosomes within a cell [Fig. 3A–E]. In Spindly-depleted cells, checkpoint protein levels at early prometaphase kinetochores were equal to controls, suggesting that, as in Drosophila melanogaster and unlike in C. elegans, human Spindly is not required for checkpoint activation. Despite the inhibition of poleward transport, kinetochore levels of checkpoint proteins at congressed chromosomes were not increased in Spindly-depleted cells relative to control cells [Fig. 3A–E]. Thus, in the absence of Spindly, a kinetochore dynein-independent mechanism is capable of removing checkpoint proteins from bioriented kinetochores. These conclusions are in agreement with a prior qualitative analysis of Mad2 and Zw10 localization in Spindly-depleted cells [Chan et al. 2009].

Spindly mutants defective in dynein/dynactin recruitment persist on kinetochores of aligned chromosomes

Checkpoint proteins were removed from attached bioriented kinetochores in Spindly-depleted cells, indicating the presence of a kinetochore dynein-independent mechanism for checkpoint protein loss. To test if the same mechanism can operate on Spindly itself in the absence of kinetochore dynein/dynactin, we monitored the localization of GFP:RRSpindlyWT and GFP:RRSpindlyF258A in a cell line stably coexpressing histone H2b:mRFP. In agreement with analysis of endogenous Spindly [Fig. 1A], GFP:RRSpindlyWT was removed rapidly from kinetochores during chromosome alignment and was undetectable by metaphase. In contrast, GFP:RRSpindlyF258A persisted at kinetochores even after all chromosomes had congressed to the metaphase plate [Fig. 4A]. The same result was obtained in fixed analysis for GFP:RRSpindlyS256A [Supplemental Fig. S3A].

One explanation for the persistence of the Spindly motif mutants at aligned kinetochores is that they
exhibit a lower rate of exchange with the cytoplasmic pool, which would slow down their depletion following microtubule attachment. However, photobleaching analysis of GFP:RRSpindlyWT and the GFP:RRSpindlyF258A mutant in nocodazole-treated cells revealed similar turnover properties (Supplemental Fig. S3B–D). Thus, Spindly motif mutants, in contrast to wild-type Spindly, persist on kinetochores of aligned chromosomes, suggesting that Spindly requires kinetochore recruitment of dynein/dynactin to be removed following microtubule attachment.

**Mad1 and Mad2 are retained on aligned kinetochores together with Spindly motif mutants**

We next assessed the consequences of retaining Spindly motif mutants at aligned kinetochores by quantifying
checkpoint protein levels at kinetochores. Spindly-depleted cells expressing the GFP:RRSpindlyF258A mutant retained significant levels of Mad1, Mad2, and CENP-E at aligned kinetochores (Figs. 4B,D, 5A). A similar result was obtained for the GFP:RRSpindlyS256A mutant (Supplemental Fig. S3A; data not shown). In contrast, increased retention was not observed for BubR1 or for Zwilch (Fig. 4C,D). Thus, single amino acid changes in Spindly that

Figure 3. Checkpoint proteins are not retained at bioriented kinetochores in Spindly-depleted cells. (A–E) Control or Spindly siRNA-treated cells immunostained for the checkpoint proteins Mad1 (A), Mad2 (B), BubR1 (C), Zwilch (D), and CENP-E (E). For each protein, staining is shown in an early prometaphase [PM] cell with no kinetochore–microtubule attachments, and in a cell where all [M] in control siRNA or most [“Late PM” in Spindly siRNA] chromosomes have congressed. Arrows and arrowheads point to examples of aligned [A] and unaligned [U] kinetochores, respectively, used for quantitation of checkpoint protein signals. Kinetochore intensity measurements for each protein were normalized relative to prometaphase of control siRNA-treated cells. Error bars represent the SEM with a 95% confidence interval. Bars, 5 μm; inset in E, 1 μm.
hamper its ability to recruit dynein/dynactin lead to the retention of a subset of checkpoint proteins, most notably the key checkpoint effectors Mad1 and Mad2, at kinetochores of congressed chromosomes. We conclude that the mechanism that facilitates checkpoint protein removal from aligned kinetochores in Spindly-depleted cells is suppressed when Spindly persists at kinetochores.

Aligned kinetochores harboring Spindly motif mutants have mature bioriented microtubule attachments

A straightforward explanation for the persistence of Mad1 and Mad2 at kinetochores of aligned chromosomes is that the presence of Spindly motif mutants causes defective kinetochore–microtubule attachments. To address this possibility, we probed the nature of microtubule attachment at aligned kinetochores harboring Spindly motif mutants using four independent criteria: sister kinetochore separation, kinetochore fiber formation, intrakinetochore stretch, and kinetochore motility on the spindle.

Aligned sister kinetochores enriched for Spindly motif mutants were clearly under tension, suggesting successful biorientation (Fig. 5A); in fact, sister kinetochore separation was slightly increased compared with bioriented kinetochores in cells expressing GFP:RRSpindlyWT, which experienced the same tension as sister kinetochores in control and Spindly-depleted cells (Fig. 5B). Consistent with the normal removal of BubR1 and Zwilch and the presence of tension, sister kinetochores harboring the GFP:RRSpindlyF258A mutant exhibited robust kinetochore fibers (Fig. 5C).

Recent work has suggested that checkpoint silencing correlates with increased physical separation of inner and outer kinetochore components following microtubule

Figure 4. Spindly motif mutants are retained together with Mad1 and Mad2 on kinetochores of aligned chromosomes. (A) Images from a time-lapse imaging sequence of cells expressing histone H2b:mRFP and either GFP:RRSpindlyWT or GFP:RRSpindlyF258A. Cells were treated with siRNAs for 32 h, and expression of the Spindly transgenes was induced for 16 h before filming. Bar, 5 μm. (B,C) Immunofluorescence images of cells with congressed chromosomes expressing GFP:RRSpindlyWT or GFP:RRSpindlyF258A stained for GFP and Mad1 (B) or BubR1 (C). Bars, 5 μm. (D) Quantitation of checkpoint protein levels at kinetochores of aligned chromosomes relative to unaligned kinetochores in early prometaphase control cells for the indicated cell lines, siRNA treatments, and transgenes.
attachment [Maresca and Salmon 2009; Uchida et al. 2009]. We therefore determined the distance between the inner kinetochore component CENP-I and the outer kinetochore component Hec1, which in unperturbed HeLa cells is 62 ± 9 nm at metaphase [Wan et al. 2009]. We measured a similar distance between Hec1 and CENP-I at kinetochores of cells expressing GFP:RRSpindlyWT and the GFP:RRSpindlyF258A mutant [Fig. 5D]. Thus, intrakinetochore stretch is not affected by the presence of the Spindly motif mutant, further indicating that the retention of Mad1 and Mad2 is not due to defective kinetochore–microtubule attachments.

Finally, we imaged aligned kinetochore pairs at high temporal resolution. Similar to controls, directional instability was observed for sister kinetochore pairs in GFP:RRSpindlyF258A-expressing cells, as well as in Spindly-depleted cells [Fig. 5E]. In contrast, treatment with taxol, which perturbs kinetochore–microtubule interactions by stabilizing microtubules, abolished directional instability. Thus, kinetochores harboring a Spindly motif mutant exhibit mechanical behavior on the spindle, similar to kinetochores of control cells.

Cumulatively, the above experiments indicate that a defect in microtubule attachment is unlikely to be the cause for the persistence of Spindly motif mutants and spindle checkpoint proteins at kinetochores of aligned chromosomes. Instead, when Spindly cannot be removed by dynein/dynactin, it and a subset of checkpoint proteins can remain at kinetochores, similar to the behavior observed for aligned chromosomes in control cells.
proteins are retained at kinetochores that have achieved mature bioriented microtubule attachments.

**Spindly motif mutants, but not Spindly-depleted cells, exhibit a prolonged metaphase delay followed by aberrant mitotic progression**

Having established that Spindly depletion and Spindly motif mutants have opposite effects on the removal of checkpoint proteins from bioriented kinetochores, we next examined the effect of these perturbations on mitotic progression.

As checkpoint proteins are released from attached kinetochores in Spindly-depleted cells, it is expected that the spindle checkpoint would be silenced once all chromosomes have aligned. This prediction is supported by progression into anaphase observed in Spindly-depleted cells in a prior study (Chan et al. 2009). To confirm this result under our experimental conditions, we filmed control and Spindly-depleted HeLa cells stably expressing histone H2b:YFP. Following a major delay in chromosome alignment, 94% of cells (n = 228) progressed to anaphase after spending ~2.5-fold longer in a metaphase-like state relative to control cells (Supplemental Fig. S4A,C; Supplemental Movie 2). In a minority of cells (6%), chromosomes dispersed progressively from the metaphase plate and remained scattered for the duration of filming [see below]. No significant defects were evident during chromosome segregation: Four percent of anaphases in Spindly-depleted cells exhibited lagging chromatids versus 2.7% in control cells, and the rate at which the chromosomes masses separated was unaffected [Supplemental Fig. S4E]. In agreement with eventual normal progression to anaphase, Spindly-depleted cells with mostly congressed chromosomes exhibited normal tension between sister kinetochores [Fig. 5B] and robust kinetochore fiber formation [Supplemental Fig S4F]. These results indicate that, once Spindly-depleted cells have achieved complete chromosome alignment and established proper kinetochore–microtubule attachments, they silence the spindle checkpoint by a kinetochore dynein-independent mechanism and progress to a normal anaphase.

In contrast to what is observed in Spindly-depleted cells, the presence of Mad1 and Mad2 on bioriented kinetochores in cells expressing Spindly motif mutants predicts that checkpoint signaling persists despite progression of all chromosomes. We tested this by filming tetracycline-inducible HeLa Flp-In cell lines stably expressing histone H2b:mRFP. While the expression of Spindly motif mutants had no effect on cell viability in the presence of endogenous Spindly [Supplemental Table S1], the same mutants were toxic in Spindly-depleted cells (regardless of histone H2b:mRFP expression). Because a high proportion of viable interphase cells are needed at the start of time-lapse imaging experiments, we began filming 30 h after siRNA transfection, as opposed to the 48-h time point used in histone H2b:YFP imaging and all fixed cell analysis. Immunoblotting revealed a significant depletion of endogenous Spindly levels at the 30-h time point (Fig. 1E), and the Spindly depletion phenotype was generally similar to that observed at the 48-h time point [Supplemental Table S1].

Expression of GFP:RRSpindly WT largely rescued endogenous Spindly depletion [Fig. 6A–C; Supplemental Table S1, Supplemental Movie S3]. In contrast, Spindly-depleted cells expressing Spindly motif mutants spent an extended time in a metaphase-like state [Fig. 6A,C]. The majority of cells (~85%) eventually exhibited a chromosome “scattering” phenotype, characterized by partial loss of sister chromatid cohesion, high cyclin B1 levels, and terminal mitotic arrest [Supplemental Material; Supplemental Fig. S5; Supplemental Movies S4–S6]. The cells that underwent scattering either stayed in this state for the duration of filming, or exhibited morphological changes characteristic of apoptosis, explaining the toxicity of the motif mutants following depletion of endogenous Spindly. The average time spent in metaphase with all chromosomes aligned before onset of scattering was 92 min and 94 min for GFP:RRSpindly S256A and GFP:RRSpindly F258A, respectively, compared with 16 min before anaphase onset in control cells and 34 min before anaphase onset in Spindly-depleted cells [Supplemental Table S1]. Importantly, cells expressing nondegradable cyclin B1 from a single-copy integrated transgene, derived from the same parental line as the Spindly motif mutants, also scattered their chromosomes after an average of 87 min with all chromosomes aligned [Supplemental Table S1, Supplemental Movie S4]. Therefore, the predominance of the scattering phenotype is likely due to the mitotic arrest induced by the Spindly motif mutants rather than a direct consequence of Spindly motif mutant expression [for detailed discussion of the scattering phenotype, see the Supplemental Material; Supplemental Fig. S5; Supplemental Movies S4–S6].

We conclude that, while Spindly depletion and Spindly motif mutants both affect kinetochore dynein/dynactin recruitment and poleward transport, only the Spindly motif mutants affect Mad1/Mad2 removal from aligned bioriented kinetochores and block progression to anaphase.

**Spindly motif mutants significantly rescue the chromosome alignment defect observed in Spindly-depleted cells**

The primary defect observed in Spindly depletions is in chromosome alignment: Quantitative analysis revealed that Spindly-depleted cells expressing histone H2b:YFP and imaged 48 h after siRNA transfection took, on average, six times longer than control cells to align all chromosomes at the metaphase plate [Supplemental Fig. S4A,C; Supplemental Movie S2], cells expressing histone H2b:mRFP imaged 30 h after siRNA transfection took four times longer [Fig. 6A,B, Supplemental Table S1].

The last few chromosomes to align in Spindly-depleted cells often displayed no directional movement for extended periods of time [Supplemental Fig. S4A,B, Supplemental Movie S2], and both the distribution of these chromosomes and the orientation of their sister kinetochores
were random relative to the spindle axis (Fig. 3A–E; Supplemental Fig. S4D). Furthermore, both kinetochores of these unaligned chromosomes exhibited molecular signatures of being unattached: They had similar levels of checkpoint proteins as in early prometaphase (Fig. 3A–C) and, in the case of Zwilch and CENP-E, even showed significantly increased levels (Fig. 3D, E). Analysis of kinetochore composition in Spindly-depleted cells (Chan et al. 2009; this study), has not revealed a significant difference in kinetochore composition, aside from loss of dynein/dynactin; all 13 tested components [Hec1/Ndc80, CENP-E, CENP-F, Bub1, BubR1, Zwilch, Zw10, MCAK, Aurora B, Ska1, Nde1, Mad1, and Mad2] were localized normally. This observation is consistent with the result that all kinetochores in Spindly-depleted cells eventually make normal attachments and progress into anaphase without significant defects (Supplemental Fig. S4A–C). Thus, the delayed chromosome alignment in

Figure 6. Differential effects of Spindly motif mutants and Spindly depletions on chromosome alignment and spindle checkpoint silencing. (A) Selected images from a time-lapse series of cells expressing histone H2b:mRFP with or without Spindly transgenes (see also Supplemental Movie S3). The experimental protocol prior to the start of filming was identical to that for the immunoblot in Figure 1E. A blowup shows the onset of the scattering phenotype (see also the Supplemental Material; Supplemental Fig. S5; Supplemental Movies S4–S6). Bar, 5 μm; blowup, 2 μm. (B, C) Quantitative analysis of mitotic intervals for the experimental conditions shown in A. Interval averages are marked by horizontal bars (see also Supplemental Table S1). Two independent experiments were performed for each condition, and the number (n) of cells scored is indicated.
Spindly-depleted cells is due to a kinetic defect in microtubule association with kinetochores that results in a few chromosomes being trapped in an unattached state on the spindle.

We next compared the kinetics of chromosome alignment between Spindly depletions and the Spindly motif mutants, both of which prevented recruitment of dynein/dynactin to kinetochores. Surprisingly, the Spindly motif mutants significantly ameliorated the chromosome alignment defect of Spindly-depleted cells (Fig. 6A,B; Supplemental Table S1; Supplemental Movie S3). Thus, the inefficient capture of microtubules and delayed chromosome alignment observed in Spindly-depleted cells cannot be explained solely by a lack of kinetochore-localized dynein/dynactin. In addition, the significant rescue of the alignment defect in Spindly depletions by the Spindly motif mutants argues against the possibility that the motif mutants simply exacerbate the depletion and cause a more penetrant Spindly loss-of-function phenotype. We conclude that a dynein/dynactin recruitment-independent function of Spindly at kinetochores contributes to the efficient alignment of chromosomes in prometaphase.

Discussion

The motor dynein was the first microtubule-associated protein localized to the kinetochore region of mitotic chromosomes [Pfarr et al. 1990; Steuer et al. 1990]. The significance of dynein localization at this site has remained a topic of intensive study. Direct perturbations of dynein and its cofactor, dynactin, have revealed a role in silencing of the mitotic checkpoint through removal of checkpoint signaling complexes [Howell et al. 2001]. Dynein is also implicated in initial capture of microtubules, but its function in chromosome alignment and segregation is debated [Howell et al. 2001; Z Yang et al. 2007]. Here, we confirm in human cells that dynein/dynactin recruitment and function at kinetochores requires the conserved Spindly protein. Our results revealed striking differences between removal of Spindly and single amino acid changes in the highly conserved Spindly motif—two perturbations that prevent recruitment of dynein/dynactin to kinetochores [Fig. 7]. The observed differences indicate that the key step in checkpoint silencing in human cells is dynein-dependent removal of Spindly from microtubule-attached kinetochores. In addition, our results highlight the existence of a conserved dynein/dynactin-independent mechanism involving Spindly that is important for chromosome alignment during prometaphase.

Single amino acid substitutions in the Spindly motif: a precise means of preventing dynein/dynactin recruitment to kinetochores

Spindly targets dynein/dynactin specifically to kinetochores in C. elegans embryos and human cells [Gassmann et al. 2008; Chan et al. 2009], in D. melanogaster, dynein recruitment to kinetochores has been suggested to be Spindly-independent [Griffis et al. 2007]. In all organisms where it has been analyzed, Spindly is recruited to kinetochores by the heterotrimeric RZZ complex. Weak association between Spindly and RZZ subunits has been reported in both C. elegans and human cells, but the interacting regions remain to be defined. Spindly family proteins are primarily predicted coiled-coil, with the only conserved sequence feature being a short motif located near a break in the coiled-coil. Our results establish that the conserved Spindly motif is central to the kinetochore recruitment of dynein/dynactin. Motif mutants are normally kinetochore-localized and exhibit turnover properties similar to those of wild-type
Spindly, but fail to recruit dynein/dynactin. Whether the Spindly motif is sufficient for dynein/dynactin recruitment is not clear, and the target of this motif on dynein/dynactin remains to be defined. The multisubunit structure and large size of both dynein and dynactin has challenged efforts to understand cargo interactions of this widely used motor complex. The requirement for Spindly to target dynein/dynactin specifically to kinetochores, and the subtle change in the Spindly motif that dramatically affects this localization, will provide a precise tool to elucidate the mechanism targeting dynein/dynactin to kinetochores in future work.

Checkpoint silencing in the absence of Spindly and kinetochore-localized dynein

Mad1/Mad2 kinetochore localization is correlated with the generation of a checkpoint signal, and dynein-mediated stripping of Mad1/Mad2 is the only mechanism proposed to directly link attachment status with checkpoint silencing. Two other mechanisms, involving the Mad2 mimic p31comet (Habu et al. 2002; M Yang et al. 2007) and protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) (Pinsky et al. 2009; Vanoosthuyse and Hardwick 2009a), have also been linked to checkpoint silencing. p31comet does not appear to be conserved in all species with Mad2-like proteins (Habu et al. 2002), and the involvement of PP1 in checkpoint silencing has only recently been demonstrated in fungi (Pinsky et al. 2009; Vanoosthuyse and Hardwick 2009a). Importantly, whether the p31comet and PP1-dependent mechanisms are sensitive to kinetochore-microtubule attachment status is currently unclear, and it is possible that these mechanisms operate to limit or inactivate the checkpoint signal in the cytoplasm (Vanoosthuyse and Hardwick 2009b). For PP1, one study has suggested a potential role in dynein-mediated removal of checkpoint proteins [Whyte et al. 2008]. However, in this study, PP1 was globally inhibited using a dominant-negative mutant, and whether checkpoint silencing was prevented was not addressed.

In Spindly-depleted cells, where kinetochore dynein is absent, Mad1 and Mad2 dissociate from kinetochores following microtubule attachments, and the spindle checkpoint is silenced without poleward transport (Fig. 7). Thus, there exists a kinetochore dynein-independent mechanism capable of promoting attachment status-dependent removal of checkpoint proteins from kinetochores. Kinetochore dynein/dynactin is absent in fungi with closed mitoses, and dynein/dynactin has been lost altogether in higher plants [Yeh et al. 1995; Wickstead and Gull 2007]. Database searches failed to reveal Spindly or RZZ orthologs in these species, with the exception of Zw10 [Starr et al. 1997], which performs double duty as a subunit of a distinct complex involved in membrane trafficking (Hirose et al. 2004). We speculate that, when Spindly is depleted from cells, as well as in organisms that naturally lack the Spindly–RZZ–dynein/dynactin kinetochore module, the spindle checkpoint is silenced via the KMN network, which provides the core microtubule-binding activity of the kinetochore and acts as the platform for spindle checkpoint activation [Kiyomitsu et al. 2007; Burke and Stukenberg 2008; Essex et al. 2009]. We suggest that microtubule engagement by the KMN network has the capacity to feed back on the checkpoint activation reaction(s), and thereby couple silencing to attachment. In support of this idea, in budding yeast a specific mutant allele of the Ndc80 subunit of the KMN network constitutively activates the checkpoint without affecting kinetochore–microtubule interactions (Kemmler et al. 2009).

Dynein-mediated removal of Spindly from kinetochores: the key step in silencing the spindle checkpoint in metazoans

The failure of checkpoint silencing in Spindly motif mutants despite the presence of mature bioriented attachments suggests that, when Spindly is present at kinetochores, the dynein-independent mechanism for silencing is ineffective, and dynein-mediated removal of Mad1 and Mad2 becomes essential for checkpoint silencing (Fig. 7). Timely removal of Spindly from attached kinetochores depends on poleward transport by kinetochore-localized dynein/dynactin, in agreement with previous work showing that direct inhibitions of dynein/dynactin result in Mad2 retention at bioriented kinetochores [Howell et al. 2001; Wojcik et al. 2001; Vergnolle and Taylor 2007; Misce et al. 2008; Varma et al. 2008; Chan et al. 2009; Sivaram et al. 2009].

Why has the dynein-independent checkpoint silencing mechanism been supplanted in metazoans by Spindly and dynein-dependent poleward transport? We note that, despite dissociation of checkpoint proteins from bioriented kinetochores, Spindly-depleted cells remain in metaphase with all chromosomes aligned ~2.5 times longer than control cells. While we cannot exclude the possibility that some of the aligned kinetochores have aberrant microtubule attachments that produce a residual checkpoint signal, an attractive alternative explanation for the extended metaphase state is that dynein-mediated poleward transport promotes a switch-like transition into anaphase not only by removing checkpoint signaling complexes from kinetochores, but also by transporting them to a site that efficiently deactivates them. Consistent with this view, prior work has shown that reactions governing anaphase entry are spatially localized on the spindle [Clute and Pines 1999; Huang and Raff 1999; Raff et al. 2002].

The observation that Spindly, the RZZ complex, and Mad1/Mad2 all move to the poles suggests that these proteins interact directly with each other to form a motor–cargo complex for poleward transport. The RZZ complex is required to recruit Mad1/Mad2 to kinetochores throughout metazoans [Buffin et al. 2005; Kops et al. 2005; Gassmann et al. 2008; Yamamoto et al. 2008], although no evidence for a direct interaction between the RZZ complex and Mad1/Mad2 has been reported. In C. elegans, SpindlySPDL-1 is also required to recruit Mad1/Mad2 to kinetochores [Gassmann et al. 2008; Yamamoto et al. 2008], and Mad1/Mad2 can be immunoprecipitated with SpindlySPDL-1 [Yamamoto et al. 2008]. Although human Spindly is dispensable for initial Mad1/Mad2
recruitment, it controls Mad1/Mad2 release after microtubule attachment. Thus, the contrasting effect of Spindly depletion on Mad1/Mad2 recruitment in C. elegans versus other organisms is likely to reflect variations on a similar underlying mechanism that involves direct physical connections between Spindly, the RZZ complex, and Mad1/Mad2. The definition of these physical interactions is a central goal of future work.

Cross-talk between the RZZ–Spindly–dynein/dynactin module and the KMN network during chromosome alignment

The most striking phenotype of Spindly-depleted cells is a prolonged delay in chromosome alignment. Spindly-depleted kinetochores are slow to make productive attachments to microtubules. This reflects a kinetic delay rather than a permanent impairment, as is observed after inhibition of KMN network components. Dynein is proposed to accelerate the establishment of kinetochore–microtubule attachments (Rieder and Alexander 1990; Z Yang et al. 2007; Gassmann et al. 2008; Vorozhko et al. 2008), so it is tempting to conclude that the delayed chromosome alignment in Spindly depletions is due to the lack of kinetochore dynein. However, Spindly motif mutants defective in dynein and dynactin recruitment significantly ameliorate the alignment defect observed in Spindly-depleted cells (Fig. 7). The motif mutants lead to increased sister kinetochore separation, an effect observed previously following injection of a monoclonal antibody targeting the microtubule-binding Hec1/Ndc80 subunit of the KMN network [DeLuca et al. 2006]. These findings are reminiscent of prior work in the C. elegans embryo, where different phenotypic outcomes of Spindly and RZZ complex inhibitions provided evidence for a kinetochore dynein recruitment-independent role of the Spindly–RZZ complex in regulating the activity of the KMN network [Gassmann et al. 2008]. These results, together with the contrasting effects on checkpoint silencing observed in Spindly depletions and Spindly motif mutants, raise caution against interpreting the phenotypic consequences of perturbing Spindly/RZZ as solely reflecting dynein/dynactin function at kinetochores. Cumulatively, the work in both C. elegans embryos and human cells suggests that there is cross-talk at the kinetochore between the Spindly–RZZ–dynein/dynactin module and the KMN network that is important for establishing timely kinetochore–microtubule attachments and promoting rapid chromosome alignment and biorientation during prometaphase. Thus, by linking checkpoint activation, efficient alignment, and checkpoint silencing following microtubule attachment, the Spindly–RZZ–dynein/dynactin module ensures both the rapid kinetics and the high fidelity of chromosome segregation in metazoans.

Materials and methods

Cells lines and antibodies

Stable isogenic cell lines expressing Spindly constructs, the CENP-F\textsuperscript{Tau} fragment, and nondegradable cyclin B1 [lacking the N-terminal 86 amino acids] were generated by FRT/Flp-mediated recombination as described previously (Tighe et al. 2004). Full-length Spindly cDNA and cDNA corresponding to amino acids 1569–2603 of CENP-F were cloned into a pcDNA5/FRT/TO-based vector (Invitrogen) modified to contain an N-terminal Myc-LAP epitope tag. The LAP tag consists of GFP-TEV-S-peptide (Cheeseman et al. 2004). The 86 cyclin B1 construct was cloned into pcDNA5/FRT/TO with an N-terminal Myc tag. For Spindly constructs, site-directed mutagenesis (Quick-Change, Stratagene) was used to introduce four silent mutations conferring RNAi resistance (gaagGgAtcCcaGactgaa; changes in capital letters), and to generate the S256A and F258A mutants by changing the appropriate codons to GCT. Vectors were cotransfected into HeLa or DLD-1 Flp-In T-Rex cells [a kind gift from Steven S. Taylor, University of Manchester, UK] with pOG4 encoding the Flp recombinase. After selection in hygromycin, colonies were pooled and transgene expression was induced with 0.2 \mu g/mL tetracycline. HeLa and DLD-1 Flp-In T-Rex cell lines stably expressing histone H2b:mRFP and HeLa cells stably expressing YFP-\alpha-tubulin were generated by retroviral delivery as described previously [Shah et al. 2004]. Affinity-purified antibodies against full-length Spindly and Zwilch were generated as described previously [Desai et al. 2003].

Cell culture and RNAi

Cells were maintained at 37°C in a 5% CO\textsubscript{2} atmosphere in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% tetracycline-free fetal bovine serum (Clontech), 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 U/mL streptomycin, and 2 mM L-glutamine. For immunofluorescence, cells were seeded on 12-mm poly-L-lysine-coated coverslips in 12-well plates 24 h prior to transfection with siRNAs. For live-cell imaging experiments, cells were seeded in a 35-mm glass-bottom dish coated with poly-D-lysine (MatTek). Cells were transfected using Oligofectamine and reduced-serum Opti-MEM (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. A predesigned [Thermo Scientific] siRNA for Spindly [GA AAGGGUCUCAAACUGAA] or a nontargeting control siRNA [UGGCUUUACAGUCCACGUA] was used at a final concentration of 100 nM. After incubation for 5–6 h, 1 vol of medium and fetal bovine serum (10% final) was added. After 24 h, the transfection mixture was replaced with fresh medium. For immunofluorescence of HeLa Flp-In T-Rex cells, transgene expression was induced with tetracycline 24 h post-transfection and cells were fixed 20–24 h later. For live-cell imaging of HeLa Flp-In T-Rex cells [and for the immunoblot shown in Fig. 1E], transgene expression was induced 22 h after transfection, and the filming session was initiated 8 h later.

Live-cell imaging

For live-cell imaging, medium was replaced with CO\textsubscript{2}-independent medium (Gibco) supplemented as described above. Tetracycline [0.2 \mu g/mL] was added to Flp-In T-Rex cells to maintain transgene expression, and the medium was covered with mineral oil immediately before filming. Detailed information about imaging conditions for individual cell lines is provided in Supplemental Table S3.

Indirect immunofluorescence and fixed-cell assays

The ATP reduction assay was performed as described previously for PtK2 cells [Howell et al. 2000], with the exception that the incubation time in azide/deoxyglucose was reduced from 30 min to 10 min. To visualize kinetochore fibers, cells were treated as described in Rampson and Kapoor (2005). For immunofluorescence, cells were fixed immediately after aspiration of the medium
with 4% formaldehyde in Phen buffer [60 mM Pipes, 25 mM Hapes, 10 mM EGTA, 2 mM MgCl₂ at pH 6.9] for 5 min at room temperature, then permeabilized for 2 min with 0.1% Triton X-100 in Phen buffer and rinsed three times in Phen buffer. Alternatively, cells were fixed at −20°C in methanol for 45 min, then rehydrated twice for 5 min in phosphate-buffered saline. Cells were processed further as described previously [Kline et al. 2006]. Primary antibody information is listed in Supplemental Table S2. Images were recorded on a DeltaVision microscope at 1200X magnification [Applied Precision], and maximum intensity projections were imported into Adobe Photoshop CS4 [Adobe] for further processing. For quantitation of kinetochore signals, 0.5 μm Z-stacks were acquired at 1 × 1 binning using the 100× NA 1.3 U-planapo objective [Olympus].
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SUPPLEMENTAL SECTION: The "Chromosome Scattering" Phenotype

The "chromosome scattering" phenotype is observed at a low but significant frequency in Spindly-depleted cells and at a high frequency in Spindly motif mutant-expressing cells but never in control cells or in Spindly-depleted cells rescued by expression of wild-type Spindly (Fig. 6A - C; Table S1). In this section, we first describe the "scattering" phenotype. Second, we summarize evidence suggesting that this phenotype arises as a consequence of cells spending a prolonged time in mitosis with a majority of their chromosome aligned at the metaphase plate, rather than reflecting a specific consequence of perturbing Spindly function.

Description of the "Scattering" Phenotype: Immunofluorescence analysis of cells exhibiting the “scattering” phenotype revealed that sister chromatid cohesion was lost on at least some of the scattered chromosomes (Fig. S5A) despite the continued presence of securin (Fig. S5E). Cells with scattered chromosomes also frequently contained multipolar spindles with two main spindle poles and one or more ectopic poles (Fig. S5B and C). Time lapse imaging of HeLa cells expressing YFP:α-tubulin revealed that the extra poles form by spindle pole fragmentation of an initially bipolar spindle (Movie S5). Once pole fragmentation had occurred, the spindle became progressively more disorganized and more extra poles formed. An elevated frequency of multipolar spindles in cells with
scattered chromosomes was observed with three other siRNAs against Spindly (data not shown) and was rescued by expression of GFP:RRSpindly<sup>WT</sup>, demonstrating that it is not an off-target effect of the siRNA (Fig. S5C). We propose that loss of sister chromatid cohesion and spindle pole fragmentation contribute additively to chromosome scattering.

Once cells exhibited the "scattering" phenotype, they either remained arrested with scattered chromosomes for the duration of the live-imaging experiments (up to 10 h) or underwent cell death. Immunofluorescence analysis revealed that scattered cells had condensed chromosomes, high cyclin B1 levels (Fig. S5D), and checkpoint proteins, including Mad1 and Mad2, present on at least a subset of kinetochores (data not shown), indicating that these cells were terminally arrested in mitosis. This terminal arrest resulted in an accumulation of cells with the chromosome "scattering" phenotype in the population over time: after a 48-h treatment with Spindly siRNA, the mitotic index was 22.6 ± 2.3 % (n = 4 experiments; > 1000 cells counted per experiment) based on fixed cell analysis. As the duration of interphase is unlikely to be shorter in Spindly-depleted cells, this is significantly higher than expected from the mitotic delay determined by live-cell imaging initiated 48 h after siRNA transfection, which predicts a mitotic index below 10 %. Accordingly, a significant fraction of mitotic cells in fixed cell analysis had spindles with multiple poles (Fig. S5C). In summary, the "chromosome scattering" phenotype is characterized by loss of
chromatid cohesion in the presence of securin, spindle pole fragmentation, high cyclin B1 levels, and a terminal arrest that leads to eventual cell death.

**The “Scattering” Phenotype is Not Specific to Spindly Depletions:** We summarize evidence that the “scattering” phenotype is not a specific consequence of Spindly depletion but is triggered if cells spend a significant time in a mitotic state with a majority of their chromosomes aligned and under tension at the metaphase plate.

First, and most compellingly, cells induced to express non-degradable cyclin B1 exhibit the "scattering" phenotype after spending a similar time interval with aligned chromosomes as the Spindly motif mutants described in Fig. 6 (Movie S4; Table S1). Note that the cell lines expressing Spindly constructs or non-degradable cyclin B1 were all derived from the same parental line by Flp-mediated integration into the same genomic locus.

Second, incubation with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 also leads to chromosome scattering and spindle pole fragmentation (Movie S5 and S6). See also Ehrhardt and Sluder (2005).

Third, expression of a CENP-E fragment (CENP-ETail), which produces a small number of persistently unaligned chromosomes that activate the spindle checkpoint, induces spindle pole fragmentation (Movie S5).

These observations strongly suggest that the "chromosome scattering" phenotype is not a direct consequence of Spindly depletion. In agreement with
this view, the "chromosome scattering" phenotype has been reported for other depletions that prolong mitosis in the presence of aligned chromosomes, most recently that of Ska3 (Daum et al. 2009; Fang et al. 2009). In addition, spindle pole fragmentation is observed in cells kept in mitosis by depletion of the APC/C activator Cdc20 (Huang et al. 2009). We note that in our live-cell analysis of Spindly siRNA treatments, the magnitude of the chromosome scattering phenotype varied between HeLa lines: despite the shorter 30-h siRNA treatment, Flp-In T-Rex/histone H2b:mRFP cells scattered their chromosomes more frequently (12 %) than HeLa/histone H2b:YFP cells after the more stringent 48-h siRNA treatment (6 %). Consequently, all of our conclusions are restricted to analysis conducted in parallel on derivatives of the same parental cell line.
SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure S1: Spindly Acts Downstream of the RZZ Complex to Recruit Dynein/Dynactin Specifically to Kinetochores.

(A) Low magnification view of HeLa cells fixed and stained with a Spindly-specific antibody.

(B) Immunofluorescence image showing that Spindly adopts the crescent-like morphology characteristic of fibrous corona components in the absence of microtubules.

(C) Immunofluorescence images of cells treated with control or Zw10 siRNA (Kops et al. 2005) for 44 h before addition of nocodazole for 4 h to maximize accumulation of Spindly at kinetochores.

(D) Immunofluorescence images of cells treated with Spindly siRNA and nocodazole as in (C) and stained for the p50<sub>dynamitin</sub> subunit of dynactin or dynein intermediate chains using the antibody V3.

(E) - (G) Dynactin localization to the spindle and spindle poles (arrowheads in E), microtubule plus ends (F), or the cell cortex (arrowheads in G) in control and Spindly siRNA-treated cells. Insets in (F) show the region around one of the centrosomes (arrows).

(H) Immunofluorescence images of Spindly-depleted cells stained for the dynein binding partner NuMA, which localizes to spindle poles in mitosis. Scale bars, (A) 25 µm; (B) - (H), 5 µm; inset in (B), 1 µm.
Figure S2: Both Spindly Depletions and Spindly Motif Mutants Abrogate Poleward Transport

(A) Immunofluorescence images of prometaphase HeLa cells after ATP reduction showing strong spindle pole accumulation of Zwilch and CENP-E (arrowheads) compared to cells treated with control buffer. By contrast, ATP reduction in cells treated with Spindly siRNA for 48 h fails to relocalize Zwilch or CENP-E to spindle poles.

(B) Immunofluorescence images DLD-1 cells expressing a GFP-tagged CENP-E fragment (CENP-E\textsuperscript{Tail}) corresponding to the C-terminal 1034 amino acids, which prominently localizes to spindle poles even without ATP reduction in control cells. Spindly depletion abrogates the signal at spindle poles (see also Movie S1).

(C) Cells were treated as in Fig. 2D and E, subjected to the ATP reduction assay, and immunostained for GFP, \( \alpha \)-tubulin, and Mad2. Arrowheads denote accumulation of Mad2 at spindle poles in cells expressing GFP:\textsuperscript{RR}Spindly\textsuperscript{WT}. Scale bars, 5 \( \mu m \).

Figure S3: Spindly Motif Mutants Exhibit Similar Turnover At Kinetochores

(A) Immunofluorescence image showing that the Spindly motif mutant S256A is retained together with Mad1 at kinetochores of congressed chromosomes. Scale bar, 5 \( \mu m \).

(B) - (D) Photobleaching analysis of GFP-tagged wild type Spindly (B) and the
Spindly motif mutant F258A (C). Cells were depleted of endogenous Spindly for 44 h and incubated with nocodazole for 4 h before FRAP. Exponential kinetics of FRAP were analyzed as described (Howell et al. 2000). Example images from a FRAP experiment are shown in (C) with time relative to the bleaching event. Scale bar, 1 µm.

**Figure S4: Spindly-Depleted Cells Are Delayed in Chromosome Congression but Complete Mitosis Without Segregation Defects.**

(A) Selected frames from time lapse imaging sequences of mitotic HeLa cells stably expressing histone H2b:YFP (see also Movie S2). Cells were treated with siRNA for 48 h before the start of filming. The movement of an unaligned chromosome (arrowhead) relative to the metaphase plate axis is plotted. Time, in minutes relative to NEBD, is indicated in each panel. Scale bar, 5 µm.

(B) Six additional examples of unaligned chromosomes displaying little movement relative to the metaphase plate axis for an extended periods of time, plotted from the start of tracking to the last time point prior to integration into the metaphase plate.

(C) Measurement of mitotic intervals in control and Spindly siRNA-treated cells.

(D) Spindly-depleted cell during chromosome alignment immunostained for centromere antigens (ACA) and α-tubulin. Scale bar, 5 µm.
(E) Distance between segregating chromosome masses in anaphase plotted against time in control and Spindly-depleted cells. The distance at time point 0 corresponds to the width of the metaphase plate.

(F) Cold-stable kinetochore fibers in control and Spindly-depleted cells. For visualization of individual K-fibers, a projection of a subset of optical z-sections is shown. Scale bar, 5 µm; blow-ups, 1 µm.

Figure S5: Description of the "Chromosome Scattering" Phenotype.

(A) Two examples of Spindly-depleted cells with scattered chromosomes fixed and stained with the outer kinetochore marker Ndc80/Hec1. The presence of unpaired Hec1 spots indicates loss of sister chromatid cohesion. Scale bar, 5 µm; inset, 1 µm.

(B) Cells with scattered chromosomes have elongated spindles with extra spindle poles (arrowheads). Scale bar, 5 µm.

(C) Fraction of mitotic cells with multiple spindle poles after 48-h siRNA treatment with or without expression of GFP:RRSpindlyWT. At least 250 mitotic cells were counted in each of three independent experiments. Error bars represent the S.E.M. with a 95 % confidence interval.

(D) Cells with scattered chromosomes have high cyclin B1 levels, demonstrating that they are arrested in mitosis. The arrowhead and arrow in the control panel highlight the difference in cyclin B1 levels in metaphase and anaphase, respectively. Scale bar, 10 µm.
(E) Cells with scattered chromosomes have not degraded securin. The arrowhead and arrow in the control panel highlight the difference in securin levels in metaphase and anaphase, respectively. Scale bar, 10 µm.
SUPPLEMENTAL MOVIE LEGENDS

MOVIE S1: Spindly is Required for Poleward Transport of a Dominant-Negative CENP-E Fragment.
DLD-1 cells expressing a GFP-tagged C-terminal fragment of CENP-E (CENP-ETail) were filmed after treatment with control or Spindly siRNA for 48 h. In control cells, the fragment translocates from kinetochores to spindle poles, where it accumulates. Overexpression of the fragment also causes persistent clustering of a subset of chromosomes at spindle poles. Spindly-depletion prevents polar accumulation of GFP:CENP-ETail. Movie starts at NEBD. Time lapse is 2 min and playback speed is 720 x real time.

MOVIE S2: Spindly-Depletion Causes a Prolonged Delay in Chromosome Alignment.
Four examples each of control and Spindly-depleted cells after 48 h of siRNA treatment. Movie starts at NEBD. Time lapse is 2 min and playback speed is 720 x real time.

MOVIE S3: Spindly Motif Mutants Largely Rescue Chromosome Alignment in Cells Depleted of Endogenous Spindly but Arrest Cells at Metaphase.
Movie starts at NEBD. Time lapse is 4 min and playback speed is 480 x real time.
MOVIE S4: Chromosome Scattering Occurs with Similar Timing in Cells Arrested in Metaphase by Expression of Spindly Motif Mutants or Non-Degradable Cyclin B1.

Movie starts at NEBD. Time lapse is 4 min and playback speed is 1440 x real time.

MOVIE S5: Chromosome Scattering in Cells Kept in Mitosis by Spindly Depletion or Incubation with the Proteasome Inhibitor MG132.

The movie for the Spindly-depleted cell starts at NEBD. The cell treated with MG132 was in mitosis for < 30 min before the start of filming. Time lapse is 4 min and playback speed is 1440 x real time.

MOVIE S6: Spindle Pole Fragmentation in Unrelated Perturbations that Cause a Prolonged Mitosis with Mostly Aligned Chromosomes.

Movie starts at spindle formation in early prometaphase. Time lapse is 6 min and playback speed is 2160 x real time.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transgene</th>
<th>siRNA</th>
<th>NEBD to Alignment of Last Chromosome</th>
<th>Alignment of Last Chromosome to Anaphase Onset</th>
<th>Alignment of Last Chromosome to Scattering</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>none</td>
<td>control</td>
<td>12 ± 4 (n=120)</td>
<td>16 ± 5 (n=120)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>none</td>
<td>spindly</td>
<td>49 ± 22 (n=86)</td>
<td>34 ± 11 (n=76)</td>
<td>25 ± 16 (n=10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR GFP:Spindly</td>
<td>control</td>
<td>13 ± 3 (n=110)</td>
<td>17 ± 5 (n=110)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR GFP:Spindly</td>
<td>spindly</td>
<td>15 ± 4 (n=85)</td>
<td>24 ± 7 (n=85)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR GFP:Spindly</td>
<td>control</td>
<td>13 ± 3 (n=110)</td>
<td>17 ± 5 (n=110)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR GFP:Spindly</td>
<td>spindly</td>
<td>29 ± 13 (n=84)</td>
<td>76 ± 30 (n=15)</td>
<td>94 ± 32 (n=69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR GFP:Spindly</td>
<td>control</td>
<td>13 ± 3 (n=108)</td>
<td>17 ± 5 (n=108)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR GFP:Spindly</td>
<td>spindly</td>
<td>22 ± 8 (n=123)</td>
<td>70 ± 42 (n=14)</td>
<td>92 ± 31 (n=109)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ86 cyclin B1</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>12 ± 3 (n=50)</td>
<td>18 ± 7 (n=7)</td>
<td>87 ± 26 (n=43)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table S1: Mitotic Timing Analysis of Cells Expressing Spindly Transgenes**

Interval duration in minutes is given as the average ± standard deviation. The indicated number (n) of cells is derived from two independent time-lapse experiments per transgene. Transgenes were integrated into the same locus of the parental HeLa Flp-In T-Rex line, which constitutively expresses histone H2b:mRFP, by Flp-mediated recombination. Abbreviations: RR, RNAi-resistant; N/A, not applicable (none of the cells exhibited chromosome scattering).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Fixation</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DM1α (α-Tubulin)</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>F, M</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YL1/2 (Tubulin)</td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACA</td>
<td>human</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>F, M</td>
<td>Antibodies Incorporated, Davis, CA, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BubR1</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Taylor lab, Manchester, UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cenp-E</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Cleveland lab, San Diego, CA, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENP-I</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Liu lab, Toledo, OH, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNS1 (cyclin B1)</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynactin p150</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>1:150</td>
<td>F, M</td>
<td>BD Transduction Laboratories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynactin p50</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>1:150</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>BD Transduction Laboratories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynein IC 70.1</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynein IC V3</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>1:250</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Vaughan lab, Notre Dame, IN, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>F, M</td>
<td>Desai lab, San Diego, CA, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>1:8000</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Desai lab, San Diego, CA, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9G3 (Hec1)</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mad1</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>1:40</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Musacchio lab, Milan, Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mad2</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Cleveland lab, San Diego, CA, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NuMA</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Cleveland lab, San Diego, CA, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCS-280 (securin)</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spindly</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>1:3000</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zwilch</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>this study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table S2: Primary Antibodies for Immunofluorescence Used in This Study.**

Abbreviations: M, methanol; F, formaldehyde (see Experimental Procedures for fixation details).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure/Movie</th>
<th>Stable Cell Line</th>
<th>Microscope</th>
<th>Time Lapse</th>
<th>Z-Stack</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fig. 5 Movie S3 Movie S4</td>
<td>HeLa Flp-In T-Rex histone H2b:mRFP and integrated transgenes</td>
<td>DeltaVision</td>
<td>4 min</td>
<td>5 x 3 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig. 4A Fig. S4D Movie S2</td>
<td>HeLa histone H2b:YFP</td>
<td>Confocal</td>
<td>4 min</td>
<td>5 x 3 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig. S4A Movie S5</td>
<td>HeLa histone H2b:YFP</td>
<td>Confocal</td>
<td>4 min</td>
<td>5 x 3 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movie S6</td>
<td>HeLa YFP:α-tubulin</td>
<td>Confocal</td>
<td>6 min</td>
<td>6 x 3 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movie S1</td>
<td>DLD-1 Flp-In T-Rex GFP:Cenp-EςTail histone H2b:mRFP</td>
<td>Confocal</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>6 x 2 µm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table S3: Live-Cell Imaging Conditions.**

For quantitation of mitotic intervals, time-lapse sequences were recorded on a DeltaVision microscope (Applied Precision) equipped with an environmental chamber heated to 36 - 37 °C as measured in the dish. Images were acquired for 10 h with a CoolSnap charge-coupled device camera (Roper Scientific) and a 40x NA 1.35 U-planApo objective (Olympus) at 2 x 2 binning. Alternatively, time-lapse sequences were acquired on a spinning disc confocal head (McBain Instruments) mounted on an inverted Nikon TE2000e microscope equipped with a 60x 1.4 NA Plan Apochromat lens (Nikon), a krypton-argon 2.5 W water-cooled laser (Spectra-Physics) and a charge-coupled device camera (Orca-ER; Hamamatsu Photonics). Acquisition parameters, shutters, and focus were controlled by MetaMorph software (MDS Analytical Technologies). Photobleaching experiments were performed using the 60x 1.4 NA Plan...
Apochromat lens and the FRAPPA module (Andor Technology) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Photobleaching data was analyzed as previously described (Howell et al. 2000).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NEBD to Alignment of Last Chromosome (ALC)</th>
<th>ALC to Anaphase Onset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control siRNA</td>
<td>13 ± 5 (n=154)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spindly siRNA</td>
<td>80 ± 43 (n=214)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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