Bimodal activation of BubR1 by Bub3 sustains mitotic checkpoint signaling
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The mitotic checkpoint (also known as the spindle assembly checkpoint) prevents premature anaphase onset through generation of an inhibitor of the E3 ubiquitin ligase APC/C, whose ubiquitination of cyclin B and securin targets them for degradation. Combining in vitro reconstitution and cell-based assays, we now identify dual mechanisms through which Bub3 promotes mitotic checkpoint signaling. Bub3 enhances signaling at unattached kinetochores not only by facilitating binding of BubR1 but also by enhancing Cdc20 recruitment to kinetochores mediated by BubR1’s internal Cdc20 binding site. Downstream of kinetochore-produced complexes, Bub3 promotes binding of BubR1’s conserved, amino terminal Cdc20 binding domain to a site in Cdc20 that becomes exposed by initial Mad2 binding. This latter Bub3–stimulated event generates the final mitotic checkpoint complex of Bub3–BubR1–Cdc20 that selectively inhibits ubiquitination of securin and cyclin B by APC/C(Cdc20). Thus, Bub3 promotes two distinct BubR1–Cdc20 interactions, involving each of the two Cdc20 binding sites of BubR1 and acting at unattached kinetochores or cytoplasmically, respectively, to facilitate production of the mitotic checkpoint inhibitor.

Upon entry into mitosis, each duplicated chromosome aligns at metaphase through capture of spindle microtubules by the kinetochore assembled onto its centromere. Premature chromosome segregation often leads to abnormal chromosome number, or aneuploidy, a hallmark of cancer. The mitotic checkpoint (also known as the spindle assembly checkpoint) is the major cell-cycle control mechanism in mitosis. It functions to ensure accurate chromosome segregation through production of an inhibitory signal generated by unattached kinetochores, thereby delaying anaphase onset until all of the chromosomes attach to spindle microtubules (2–4). This signaling pathway is initiated by a complex of Mad1 (mitotic arrest deficient 1) and Mad2 (mitotic arrest deficient 2) immobilized at unattached kinetochores (5). This complex then recruits a second Mad2 molecule (5–7) and catalyzes its conformational change from open or N1 (inactive) to closed or N2 (active) (11, 12) state. Closed Mad2 can bind Cdc20 (cell division cycle 20), the mitotic activator of the E3 ubiquitin ligase APC/C (anaphase promoting complex or cyclosome) that is responsible for advance to anaphase by its ubiquitination and subsequent proteasome-dependent degradation of cyclin B and securin. Diffusible Mad2–Cdc20 produced by unattached kinetochores recruits a complex of Bub3 (budding uninhibited by benzimidazole 3) and BubR1 (Bub1 related protein 1). It does this either by exposing a previously inaccessible site in Cdc20 for binding to BubR1’s N-terminal Cdc20 binding domain (13) and/or by a direct interaction between Cdc20-bound Mad2 and BubR1 (14).

A four-protein complex of Mad2, BubR1, Bub3, and Cdc20, named the mitotic checkpoint complex (or MCC), has long been implicated in the inhibition of APC/C(Cdc20) ubiquitination of securin and cyclin B1 (14, 15). However, the identity of the ultimate mitotic checkpoint inhibitor remains controversial, with some investigators arguing that Mad2 plays the predominant role (16) and others arguing that the inhibitory activity of APC/C(Cdc20) is provided by BubR1 (13, 17), or both BubR1 and Mad2 (14, 15). We recently demonstrated that the N-terminal Mad3 homology domain of BubR1 (including one of its two Cdc20 binding sites) (18), along with its associated Bub3, but not Mad2, accounts for the inhibition of APC/C(Cdc20) both in vitro using purified components and in vivo after induced degradation of either BubR1 or Mad2 (13). These latter in vitro efforts were interpreted to demonstrate that Mad2 can dissociate from an initial complex with Cdc20 (or APC/C(Cdc20)) in an activated conformation capable of catalyzing additional Bub3–BubR1–Cdc20 complexes. Thus, we proposed that BubR1–Bub3–Cdc20 is the mitotic checkpoint inhibitor that blocks APC/C-dependent ubiquitination of cyclin B and securin, through dual catalytic steps, an initial one at kinetochores and another acting within the cytoplasm mediated by kinetochore-derived, activated Mad2 (13).

Bub3 was identified as a mitotic checkpoint protein through genetic screening in budding yeast (19), and subsequent work in higher eukaryotes also demonstrated a profound defect in this checkpoint in the absence of Bub3 (20–23). Recently, Bub3 has been shown to bind the phospho MELT motif on KNL-1 for kinetochore localization of Bub1 (budding uninhibited by benzimidazole 1), disruption of which caused a defective checkpoint (24–27) with Bub1 binding to kinetochores apparently required for binding of other checkpoint proteins (28–32). A role for Bub3 in mitotic checkpoint silencing has also been proposed in fission yeast (33). Bub3 binds to the GLe2-binding-sequence (GLEBS) motif of Bub1 and Mad3 (the yeast homolog of BubR1) in a mutually exclusive manner, with binding mediated through the top face of its β-propeller (34). Another GLEBS motif-containing protein, BugZ, was also shown to interact with Bub3, stimulating its mitotic function by promoting its stability and kinetochore loading (35–37). Bub3 not only mediates BubR1
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localization to the kinetochore (38) but is also incorporated into the MCC with Cdc20, BubR1, and Mad2 (15). Failure of Bub3 binding to BubR1 has been shown to weaken the mitotic checkpoint (39–41). However, it has remained unclear how Bub3 stimulates mitotic checkpoint signaling through binding to BubR1.

Using cell-based assays and our established in vitro reconstituted APC/C activity assay (8, 13), we now have investigated the mechanism by which Bub3 contributes to the mitotic checkpoint. We find that Bub3 promotes two distinct BubR1–Cdc20 interactions, involving each of the two Cdc20 binding sites of BubR1 and acting at unattached kinetochores or cytoplasmically, respectively, to facilitate production of the mitotic checkpoint inhibitor.

**Results**

**Bub3 Directly Stimulates Production of the APC/C\(^{\text{Cdc20}}\) Inhibitor of Cyclin B1 Ubiquitination.** To determine the specific contribution of Bub3 binding to BubR1 in the mitotic checkpoint, endogenous BubR1 was depleted in cells using an siRNA and replaced by expression of inducible, siRNA-resistant, Myc and GFP amino-terminally tagged BubR1 variants (Fig. S1C and Fig. 1 A and B). To disrupt binding to Bub3, we converted the conserved glutamate at residue 409 of the GLEBS motif of BubR1 (34) to lysine. Depletion of Bub3 produced a severe defect in nocodazole-induced, chronic mitotic checkpoint signaling (Fig. S1 A and B), as expected from prior work (23). The BubR1\(^{E409K}\) variant with a defect in Bub3 binding gave rise to extensive chromosome misalignment yet failed to delay anaphase onset in an unperturbed mitosis (Fig. S1 D and E). Additionally, BubR1\(^{E409K}\) allowed much faster mitotic exit than wild-type BubR1 in cells with unattached kinetochores produced either by nocodazole-induced microtubule disassembly (Fig. 1 C and D) or inhibition of the kinetochrome-bound, kinesin family member CENP-E (Fig. S1 F–H). Taken together, these results indicate that the Bub3–BubR1 interaction is required for sustained mitotic checkpoint signaling.

Bub3 has been copurified with BubR1 and Mad2 in Cdc20 complex(es) from cells with an active mitotic checkpoint (15, 17, 42), suggesting a contribution by Bub3 to the inhibition of Cdc20. To determine the direct contribution of Bub3 to the inhibition of APC/C\(^{\text{Cdc20}}\) in vitro, Bub3 and various combinations of full-length BubR1 (hereafter referred to as BubR1\(^{FL}\)) and Mad2 (Fig. 1E) were coinubated at concentrations approximating physiological (150, 150, and 300 nM, respectively) (8, 42). Mad2 and/or BubR1 were then added to bead-bound APC/C\(^{\text{Cdc20}}\) (at 2:1 or 1:1 stoichiometries, respectively, relative to Cdc20). APC/C and any associated proteins were recovered, and ubiquitination activity toward cyclin B in vitro. BubR1 significantly extended APC/C\(^{\text{Cdc20}}\)–mediated production of an APC/C\(^{\text{Cdc20}}\) inhibitor by BubR1\(_{1-477}\) (hereafter referred as BubR1\(^{\text{N}}\)), which contains BubR1\(_{N}\)‘s N-terminal Cdc20 binding site (Fig. 2A). In contrast, Bub3 did not affect Mad2-independent inhibition by BubR1 of free Cdc20 activation of APC/C (inhibition mediated by a Bub1 variant [Bub1\(_{1357-1460}\)] containing only its internal Cdc20 binding site—hereafter referred to as Bub1\(^{\text{N}}\)) (Fig. S2). This stimulated inhibition required a direct interaction between Bub3\(^{\text{N}}\) with Bub3, as Bub3 failed to activate Bub3 binding-defective Bub1\(_{1357-1460}\) (Fig. 2 D–F). The E409K mutation itself did not affect function of BubR1 or Bub3, as there was no difference between wild-type Bub1\(^{\text{N}}\) and Bub1\(_{1357-1460}\) in their ability to inhibit APC/C\(^{\text{Cdc20}}\) in the absence of Bub3 (Fig. S3). Consistently, a Bub3 variant (Bub3\(^{R183E}\)) that contains a mutation of arginine 183 (corresponding to arginine 197 in yeast, a residue required for its interaction with Bub1 or Mad2) (34) bound less to Bub1\(^{\text{N}}\) and also produced a correspondingly reduced ability to stimulate Bub1\(^{\text{N}}\) inhibition of APC/C\(^{\text{Cdc20}}\) (Fig. S4). Collectively, these data indicate that Bub3 binding to BubR1 specifically promotes the Mad3–homology domain (BubR1\(^{\text{N}}\))–mediated production of an APC/C\(^{\text{Cdc20}}\) inhibitor.

**Bub3 Promotes Assembly of the BubR1–Cdc20 Mitotic Checkpoint Inhibitor.** Our previous study found that Bub1 binding to Cdc20 (through the N-terminal Cdc20 binding domain), but not Mad2, is critical for inhibition of APC/C\(^{\text{Cdc20}}\) recognition of cyclin B (13). Because Bub3 stimulated the inhibition of APC/C\(^{\text{Cdc20}}\) by BubR1\(^{\text{N}}\) (Fig. 2), we therefore tested in vitro if Bub3 stimulated inhibition of APC/C\(^{\text{Cdc20}}\) (through the N-terminal Cdc20 binding domain) of APC/C\(^{\text{Cdc20}}\) was purified after incubating with various combinations of BubR1\(^{\text{N}}\), Mad2, and Bub3, and APC/C–associated proteins were then analyzed (Fig. 3A; see schematic at top). BubR1\(^{\text{N}}\), with or without Bub3, failed to produce a significant amount of BubR1\(^{\text{N}}\)-Cdc20 complex in the absence of Mad2 (Fig. 3A, lanes 3–5), indicating a Mad2 dependency for establishing an initial BubR1\(^{\text{N}}\)-Cdc20 interaction. This Mad2-dependent BubR1\(^{\text{N}}\)-Cdc20 interaction was enhanced by twofold by coinubication with Bub3 (Fig. 3 A, lanes 6–8, and B), quantitatively accounting for the twofold stimulation of APC/C\(^{\text{Cdc20}}\) inhibition by Bub3 (Fig. 2C). We further confirmed that Bub3-stimulated inhibition required its binding to BubR1\(^{\text{N}}\), as Bub3 was unable to promote APC/C\(^{\text{Cdc20}}\) association with a shorter BubR1\(^{\text{N}}\) variant lacking the Bub3 binding domain (BubR1\(_{1-363}\)) (Fig. 3C). Consistent with in vitro results, immunopurified BubR1\(^{E409K}\) (Bub3 binding-defective) from mitotically arrested cells was less associated with Cdc20 and APC/C than wild-type BubR1 (Fig. 3 D and E).

**Bub3-Mediated Kinetochore Recruitment of BubR1 Enhances Mitotic Checkpoint Signaling.** Unattached kinetochores stably bind a complex of Mad1 and Mad2 (5), which catalytically acts (8, 10) to convert additional Mad2 molecules to an active form that binds Cdc20, thereby initiating mitotic checkpoint signaling. The other components of the MCC—Bub1, Bub3 (38), and Cdc20 (43)—are also recruited to unattached kinetochores (reviewed in ref. 44). Because BubR1 relies on Bub3 for its binding to kinetochrome (38), we tested whether such Bub3-dependent targeting of BubR1 to kinetochores also promotes mitotic checkpoint signaling beyond kinetochrome binding-dependent Bub3 stimulation of BubR1– Cdc20 complex formation (Fig. 3). To produce kinetochore localization of the cytosolic BubR1\(^{E409K}\) GFP-tagged Mis12 or Bub3, respectively, was fused in frame to the N or C terminus of the protein (Fig. 4A). After siRNA-mediated reduction in endogenous BubR1, these Bub3 and Mis12 fusion proteins accumulated to levels similar to that of the corresponding GFP-tagged BubR1 variants (Fig. 4B). As expected, BubR1\(^{E409K}\) was not kinetochore-associated unless fused to Bub3 or Mis12 (Fig. 4C).

Compared with BubR1\(^{E409K}\) alone, BubR1 significantly extended nocodazole-induced mitotic arrest in cells when kinetochrome targeted through Bub3 but not Mis12 (Fig. 4 D and E). BubR1 localization to the kinetochore per se was necessary for BubR1 enhancement of
mitotic checkpoint signaling, as the Bub3-dependent extended mitotic arrest largely disappeared (Fig. 4F) when BubR1<sup>E409K</sup> was fused to a Bub3 variant (Bub3<sup>K183E</sup>) defective in kinetochore localization (Fig. 4G). Although the Bub3 fusion enables kinetochore binding of BubR1<sup>E409K</sup> through Bub3 binding to Bub1 at the kinetochore, the E409K mutation in BubR1’s GLEBS motif disrupts the authentic BubR1–Bub3 interaction between the fused Bub3 and BubR1<sup>E409K</sup>. As a consequence, it is unlikely that Bub3 fusion to the C terminus of BubR1<sup>E409K</sup> directly facilitates BubR1 binding to APC/C<sup>Cdc20</sup>.

---

**Fig. 1.** Bub3 directly promotes Mad2-dependent BubR1 inhibition of APC/C. (A) Schematic of functional domains of BubR1. (B) E409K mutation in BubR1 disrupts the BubR1–Bub3 interaction, analyzed by GFP immunoprecipitation. (C) Schematic for the protocol used to replace endogenous BubR1 with a GFP-tagged version and to determine duration of nocodazole-induced mitotic arrest in HeLa cells. (D) Time-lapse microscopy was used to determine nocodazole (100 ng/mL)-induced mitotic duration after replacing endogenous BubR1 with GFP–BubR1 variants using siRNA. (E) Coomassie staining of purified checkpoint components. (F) In vitro APC/C activity assay for checkpoint protein-mediated inhibition of APC/C-mediated cyclin B ubiquitination. (G) Effect on APC/C<sup>Cdc20</sup>-mediated ubiquitination of cyclin B1<sup>–102</sup> by various combinations of BubR1, Mad2, and Bub3. (H) Quantification from G. Data represent mean ± SEM, n = 3. (I) Time titration for the inhibition of APC/C ubiquitination of cyclin B by Mad2 and BubR1 in the presence or absence of Bub3.
Despite kinetochore binding-derived stimulation of sustained checkpoint signaling, cells expressing Bub3-tagged BubR1\textsuperscript{E409K} exited mitosis in nocodazole significantly faster than cells expressing wild-type BubR1 (Fig. 4\textsuperscript{A}). Thus, we reasoned that the kinetochore binding-independent Bub3 stimulation of the BubR1–Cdc20 interaction documented earlier (Fig. 3) was necessary for sustained mitotic checkpoint signaling. In support of this, wild-type BubR1 fused to Bub3 or Mis12 instead of Bub3\textsuperscript{E409K} mediated an even further extension of mitotic arrest regardless of the way it was bound to kinetochores (Fig. S5).

Next, we asked whether kinetochore localization of the N-terminal Cdc20 binding site of BubR1 was sufficient for its kinetochore localization-dependent enhancement of mitotic checkpoint signaling. A GFP-tagged N-terminal BubR1 variant (BubR1\textsubscript{N1–363} that lacks the Bub3 binding site) was directly fused to Bub3 or Mis12 (Fig. S4). After suppressing endogenous BubR1 with siRNA, each Bub3 or Mis12 fusion protein accumulated to a level similar to untagged BubR1\textsubscript{N1–363} (Fig. S5A). Bub3- and Mis12-tagged BubR1\textsubscript{N1–363} variants bound to kinetochores, whereas BubR1\textsubscript{N1–363} did not (Fig. S5C). Both full-length BubR1 and the Bub3–BubR1\textsubscript{N1–363} fusion bound dynamically, with similar turnover kinetics measured by fluorescence recovery after photo bleaching (FRAP) during nocodazole-induced mitotic arrest (Fig. 5\textsuperscript{D}, \textsuperscript{E}, and \textsuperscript{G}). In contrast, FRAP measurements with Mis12-tagged BubR1\textsubscript{N1–363} revealed it to be bound stably to kinetochores, with little exchange with time (Fig. 5\textsuperscript{F} and \textsuperscript{G}). However, unlike full-length cytosolic BubR1 (BubR1\textsuperscript{E409K}) (Fig. 4\textsuperscript{E}), neither enabling dynamic nor stable association of BubR1\textsubscript{N1–363} with kinetochores extended the time of nocodazole-induced mitotic arrest (Fig. 5\textsuperscript{H} and \textsuperscript{I}). This indicates a requirement for additional domains of BubR1 for kinetochore binding-dependent enhancement of sustained mitotic checkpoint signaling.

The Internal Cdc20 Binding Site of BubR1 Accelerates Cdc20 Recruitment to Kinetochores. Full-length BubR1 has been shown (13) to sustain more robust mitotic checkpoint signaling than the kinetochore localization-competent variant BubR1\textsuperscript{N1–363}, which contains the Bub3 and N-terminal Cdc20 binding sites but is missing the internal Cdc20 binding site and the kinase domain. Although the role of the BubR1 kinase domain remains controversial [with evidence that kinase activity is stimulated by binding to CENP-E (45) and conflicting with evidence that it is an inactive pseudokinase (46)], BubR1 has been recently proposed to be required for Cdc20 recruitment to the Drosophila melanogaster kinetochore (47, 48). These findings led us to test whether BubR1 localization to the kinetochore enhances recruitment of Cdc20 to kinetochores in human cells. Using siRNA we replaced endogenous BubR1 with an siRNA-resistant MycGFP–BubR1 variant and measured Cdc20 intensity at the kinetochore during mitotic arrest produced by addition of monastrol, an inhibitor for the mitotic kinesin Eg5. Cdc20 intensity at the kinetochore was reduced at least 75% upon depletion of endogenous BubR1 (Fig. 6.\textsuperscript{A} and \textsuperscript{B}). Expression of recombinant wild-type BubR1 or the kinetochore binding-competent BubR1 variant (BubR1\textsuperscript{E409K}) full restored the Cdc20 level at kinetochores, whereas expression of the kinetochore binding-deficient BubR1 variant (BubR1\textsuperscript{E409K}) did not.

Next, we assessed the contribution to kinetochore binding of Cdc20 and to sustained mitotic checkpoint arrest provided by each of the two Cdc20 binding sites of BubR1. Expression of a BubR1 variant lacking the N-terminal Cdc20 binding site [BubR1\textsubscript{Δ(1–356)}] fully restored Cdc20 levels at kinetochores in BubR1-depleted cells. On the other hand, expression of a BubR1 variant lacking the internal Cdc20 binding site [BubR1\textsubscript{Δ(525–700)}] did not (Fig. 6\textsuperscript{C} and \textsuperscript{D}). Importantly, wild-type BubR1 mediated...
longer mitotic arrest of nocodazole-treated cells than did BubR1Δ(525–700) (Fig. 6E), suggesting a role of BubR1–Bub3-mediated kinetochore binding of Cdc20 in sustaining mitotic checkpoint signaling. Kinetochore levels of Mad1 and Mad2 were indistinguishable in cells supported by the various BubR1 variant regardless of the levels of kinetochore binding of BubR1 or Cdc20 (Fig. S6). This outcome was inconsistent with the possibility that a less efficient Mad1–Mad2-mediated initiation of checkpoint signaling was the result of reduction in Mad1–Mad2 at unattached kinetochores.

Discussion

A prevailing hypothesis for Bub3’s function in generation of the mitotic checkpoint inhibitor is that it simply delivers BubR1 to the kinetochore and thus elevates the local concentration of BubR1 to facilitate rapid initial production of an anaphase inhibitor (e.g., refs. 2, 40, 49). Here we have established that Bub3’s role is much more than this. Our evidence identifies a dual mode of stimulation by Bub3 of BubR1’s mitotic checkpoint function to produce the mitotic checkpoint inhibitor (modeled in Fig. 6F). First, Bub3’s binding to BubR1 directly stimulates assembly of the BubR1–Cdc20 mitotic checkpoint inhibitor through facilitating association of the conserved Mad3-homology domain of BubR1 with Cdc20 independently of kinetochore localization. Second, we have further demonstrated that Bub3 binding also mediates Cdc20 localization to the kinetochore via BubR1’s internal Cdc20 binding site as a means to reinforce the kinetochore-dependent first step in mitotic checkpoint inhibitor generation.

Recently, we demonstrated that BubR1, but not Mad2, binding (through the N-terminal Cdc20 binding site) is critical for inhibiting APC/C^\text{Cdc20}\) recognition of cyclin B (13). Our evidence in the current study has established that Bub3 promotes this BubR1–Cdc20 interaction, thereby uncovering a previously unknown role for Bub3 in production of the mitotic checkpoint inhibitor through action at the most downstream step of the signaling pathway. Two previous studies proposed that the first KEN box in the N-terminal Cdc20 binding site of BubR1 is responsible for BubR1 binding to Cdc20 (14, 41). Based on this, it is plausible that Bub3 binding may alter the conformation of the initially rod-shaped BubR1 N terminus (34, 50) in a way to either promote the initial assembly or stabilization of a BubR1–Cdc20 complex for generating the characteristic, selective inhibition of APC/C\text{Cdc20}.

In addition to its stimulatory function in cytosolic assembly of the mitotic checkpoint inhibitor (Figs. 1 and 2), our evidence establishes that Bub3 also mediates BubR1-dependent kinetochore...
recruitment of Cdc20 as a means to further strengthen initiation of mitotic checkpoint inhibitor production (Fig. 6F). How BubR1 and Cdc20 recruitment to kinetochores serves to power checkpoint signaling is not settled, with two (not mutually exclusive) mechanisms likely. First, recruitment at kinetochores of Cdc20 by BubR1’s internal Cdc20 binding site may facilitate initial formation of Mad2–Cdc20 complexes whose assembly is enhanced by the elevated local concentration at those kinetochores of Cdc20. Alternatively, the internal Cdc20 binding site may serve as a docking site of preassembled Mad2–Cdc20 for its handover to the N-terminal Cdc20 binding site of BubR1 for the assembly of the BubR1–Cdc20 mitotic checkpoint inhibitor. Evaluating between these hypotheses will now require testing (i) whether the two Cdc20 binding sites of BubR1 associate with Cdc20 independently of each other, including whether a transient complex forms in which both Cdc20 binding sites are associated with one molecule of Cdc20 as an intermediate form, and (ii) whether the internal Cdc20 binding site of BubR1 binds to Mad2–Cdc20.

Our study has further revealed that BubR1’s diffusion into the cytosol, as well as its kinetochore localization, is crucial for mitotic checkpoint signaling, as indicated by the fact that tethering cytosolic BubR1 (BubR1E409K) stably to the kinetochore (via Mis12) was even more detrimental to mitotic checkpoint signaling than was keeping BubR1 only in the cytosol (Fig. 4E). By contrast, enabling dynamic attachment of an otherwise cytosolic BubR1 variant to the kinetochore (by fusing it to Bub3) significantly strengthened sustained mitotic checkpoint signaling (Fig. 4E). This evidence adds further support for our model for production of a diffusible mitotic checkpoint inhibitor in which the majority of Mad2-associated Cdc20 complexes diffuse throughout the mitotic cytoplasm, followed by capture by cytosolic BubR1 to produce the bona fide inhibitor of APC/C\(^{Cyclin B}\) recognition of cyclin B and securin (13).

Finally, we note that BubR1 at the unattached kinetochores has been reported to recruit PP2A-B56\(\alpha\), which in turn affects kinetochore–microtubule attachment (51–53) through a region similar to the one required for its recruitment of Cdc20 for mitotic checkpoint signaling. This raises an interesting, now testable, possibility that there is crosstalk between these two distinct protein–protein interactions at kinetochores, one to initiate mitotic checkpoint signaling and the other to coordinate microtubule–kinetochore attachment to silence that signaling.

Materials and Methods

Constructs. Full-length and fragments of the human BubR1 ORF were cloned into either a pcDNA3/FRT (FLP recombination target)/TO (tetracycline resistance operon)-based vector (Invitrogen) modified to contain an amino-terminal Myc-LAP epitope tag for mammalian cell expression or a pFastBac1-based vector (Invitrogen) modified to contain an amino-terminal GST-human rhinovirus (HRV) 3C site for insect cell expression. The LAP tag consists of GFP–HRV 3C (LEVLFQGP)–6×His. All other DNA constructs were previously described (8, 42).
Fig. 5. Targeting a cytosolic BubR1 variant with only its N-terminal Cdc20 binding site to kinetochores does not enhance mitotic checkpoint signaling. (A) Schematics for (i) blocking BubR1\(^\text{t1/2}\) (BubR1\(1\text{-}363\)) binding to kinetochores by deleting the Bub3 binding site or by driving its kinetochore localization through fusion to (ii) Mis12 or (iii) Bub3. (B) Levels of accumulation of GFP-BubR1 variants after expression in HeLa cells. (C) Intracellular localization of Bub3 or Mis12-tagged cytosolic BubR1\(1\text{-}363\). (D–F) FRAP analysis of GFP-BubR1 variants in a nocodazole-induced mitotic cell. (D) Wild-type GFP-BubR1, (E) GFP-BubR1\(1\text{-}363\)-Bub3, and (F) GFP-Mis12-BubR1\(1\text{-}363\). (G) Quantification from D–F, n/a, not available; t\(_{1/2}\), time (seconds) for 50% recovery of fluorescence. (H) Schematic for the protocol used to determine mitotic timing. (I) Mitotic checkpoint function of BubR1 N terminus variants, analyzed by time-lapse microscopy.

Antibodies. The antibodies used in this study are as follows: BubR1 (SBR1.1, a gift from S. Taylor (University of Manchester, Manchester, England); A300-386, Bethyl Laboratories), Bub3 (SB3.2, a gift from S. Taylor), Mad2 (A300-300A, Bethyl Laboratories), Cdc20 [A301-180A, Millipore; (BB3-8, a gift from A. Musacchio, Max Planck Institute, Dortmund, Germany)], ACA (Antibodies Inc.), Myc (16-213, Millipore), Cdc27 (54), α-Tubulin (DM1α, Sigma-Aldrich), GST (SC-33613, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and His–BubR1 were generated using FRT/Flp-mediated recombination (57).

Generation of Stable Cell Lines and RNAi. Parental Flp-In TRex–HeLa or –DLD-1 parental cells that stably express mRFP-tagged histone H2B (H2B-mRFP) were as previously described (55, 56). Stable, isogenic cell lines expressing MycGFP-BubR1 were generated using FRT/Flip-mediated recombination (57). Expression of MycGFP-BubR1 was induced with 1 μg/mL tetracycline. siRNAs directed against the 3′ untranslated region of Bub3 (S-CUGUAUGGCU-GUAUUAUUA-3′) or Bub3 (58) were purchased from Thermofisher Scientific (Dharmacon). Cells were transfected with 50 nM of oligonucleotides using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen). We added tetracycline 24 h after transfection to express MycGFP-BubR1 for 24 h before collecting cells for immunoblotting or analyzing by time-lapse microscopy.

Live-Cell Microscopy. To determine mitotic timing, cells were seeded onto μ-Slide (ibidi) and 48 h posttransfection transferred to supplemented CO\(_2\)-independent media (Invitrogen). Cells were maintained at 37 °C in an environmental control station and images collected using a DeltaVision RT system (Applied Precision) with a 40 × 1.35 NA oil lens at 3–5-min time intervals. For each time point, 6 × 3 μM or 6 × 4 μM z sections were acquired for RFP and maximum intensity projection created using softWoRx. Movies were assembled and analyzed using QuickTime (Apple) or FIJI (Image J, National Institutes of Health) software.

FRAP. The FRAP experiment was performed on HeLa Cells seeded 24 h before the experiment in 35-mm glass-bottom culture dishes (Mat Tek Corporation). Growth medium was changed to CO\(_2\)-independent medium before imaging. Images were collected, at 37 °C, with an Olympus 100X/1.35, UPlan Apo objective using a DeltaVision Core system (Applied Precision) equipped with a CoolSnap camera (Roper). Photobleaching was performed using a Quantifiable Laser Module (Applied Precision) with the 488-nm laser line. Images were taken on a single plane, on the GFP channel, every second before the laser event. A 1-s laser event was performed, and images were acquired with increasing time interval following photo bleaching starting with 500-ms intervals. Fluorescent intensity was measured, using FIJI (Image J, National Institutes of Health), in a circle surrounding the GFP kinetochore signal, and a background was measured from an equivalent area adjacent to the kinetochore signal. Background subtraction and normalization of the measured signal was done using Excel software (Microsoft). The fitting of the data was done using Prism software (GraphPad), using a least square polynomial equation, and the recovery half-time was measured from the curve.

Indirect Immunofluorescence. Cells were fixed in 4% (vol/vol) formaldehyde at room temperature for 10 min with or without preextraction by 0.1% Triton X-100 for 60 s. Incubations with primary antibodies were conducted in blocking buffer for 1 h at room temperature. Immunofluorescence images were collected using a DeltaVision Core system (Applied Precision). For quantification of kinetochore signal intensity, undeconvolved 2D maximum intensity projections were saved as unscaled 16-bit tagged image file format (TIFF) images and signal...
intensities determined using MetaMorph (Molecular Devices). A 12 × 12 pixel circle was drawn around a centromere [marked by anti-centromere antibodies (ACA) staining] and an identical circle drawn adjacent to the structure (background). The integrated signal intensity of each individual centromere was calculated by subtracting the fluorescence intensity of the background from the intensity of the adjacent centromere. About 20 centromeres were averaged to provide the average fluorescence intensity for each individual cell.

Protein Purification. GST or His-tagged human BubR1, Bub3, and Cdc20 were expressed in Sf9/High-Five insect cells using the Bac-to-Bac expression system (Invitrogen) and affinity purified over nickel–nitrilotriacetic acid beads (Qiagen) or Glutathione Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). His–Mad2 and other GST-tagged proteins were expressed from Rosetta Escherichia coli after induction with isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside, and purified. APC/C was immunoprecipitated from interphase Xenopus egg extracts as previously described (8).

APC/C Ubiquitination Activity Assay. The APC/C ubiquitination activity assay was performed as previously described (59) and activity assessed by ubiquitination-derived depletion of the cyclin B1–102 substrate. Quantitative

Fig. 6. Bub3 mediates kinetochore recruitment of Cdc20 via BubR1’s internal Cdc20 binding site to enhance mitotic checkpoint signaling. (A) Effect of kinetochore localization of BubR1 on kinetochore localization of Cdc20 in HeLa cells arrested in mitosis with monastrol (100 μM). (B) Quantification of Cdc20 intensity on kinetochores from A. (C) Indirect immunofluorescence assay of Cdc20 binding to kinetochores in the presence of BubR1 variants with either of its Cdc20 binding sites. (D) Quantification of Cdc20 intensity on kinetochores from C. (E) Duration of mitosis after reducing endogenous BubR1 (with siRNA) and expression of BubR1Δ′ or BubR1 deleted in its internal Cdc20 binding site. Time-lapse microscopy was used to determine nocodazole-induced duration of mitotic arrest after replacing endogenous BubR1 with a MycGFP–BubR1 in DLD-1 cells. (F) A model for dual modes of BubR1 activation by Bub3 for generating the mitotic checkpoint inhibitor. Mitotic checkpoint signaling is promoted (a) at kinetochores by Bub3-dependent recruitment to those kinetochores of BubR1 and Cdc20 (through binding of the internal Cdc20 binding site of BubR1 to Cdc20) and (b) in the cytosol by Bub3 stimulation of Mad2-dependent BubR1–Cdc20 formation (through binding of the conserved N-terminal Cdc20 binding site of BubR1).
analysis of cyclin B1-depletion performed as previously described (13). Briefly, the level of cyclin B1 was determined during a series of dilution of the proteins.

**APCC Binding Assay.** APCC was immunoprecipitated from Xenopus interphase egg extracts for 2 h at 4 °C using a peptide-derivative anti-Cdc27 antibody crosslinked to Affigel Protein A (Bio-Rad) beads. The APCC beads were washed with Tris-buffered saline (TBS) buffer supplemented with 0.4 M KCl and 0.1% Triton X-100 and incubated with Cdc20 and checkpoint proteins sequentially or simultaneously for the indicated time at room temperature. Unbound proteins were removed by washing the beads twice with 20 volumes of TBS buffer. The APCC complex was eluted from the beads by Cdc27 peptide competition (2 mg/mL) as described and analyzed by immunoblotting.
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Fig. S1. Bub3 (budding uninhibited by benzimidazole 3), binding to BubR1 promotes mitotic checkpoint signaling. (A) Down-regulation of Bub3 by siRNA in HeLa cells. (B) Mitotic checkpoint defect upon Bub3 depletion, analyzed by time-lapse microscopy. (C) Replacement of endogenous BubR1 with recombinant MycGFP–BubR1. siRNA was used to deplete endogenous BubR1, and tetracycline (1 μg/mL) was added to induce expression of recombinant BubR1. Note that siRNA did not cause off-target down-regulation of other checkpoint proteins. (D and E) Endogenous BubR1 was replaced with recombinant BubR1 in HeLa cell as described in C, and time-lapse microscopy was used to determine D. Duration of unperturbed mitotic progression or (E) mitosis with chromosome misalignment. More than 100 cells were counted in each set. (F) Schematic for the protocol used to determine the duration of mitosis in the CENP-E inhibitor (GSK923295, 200 nM)-treated HeLa cells by time-lapse microscopy after replacing endogenous BubR1 with MycGFP–BubR1. (G and H) Representative images (G) and duration of mitosis (H) of HeLa cells treated with the CENP-E inhibitor. More than 60 cells were analyzed for each set over three independent experiments.
Fig. S2. Bub3 binding does not stimulate BubR1’s internal Cdc20 (cell division cycle 20) binding-site–mediated inhibition of APC/C (anaphase promoting complex or cyclosome) activation by Cdc20. (A) In vitro generation of an inhibitor for Cdc20 activation of APC/C. Various combinations of BubR1 (BubR1357–1,050), Mad2 (mitotic arrest deficient 2), and Bub3 were incubated with free Cdc20, followed by further incubation with APC/C. (B) Inhibitory activity was measured in A by depletion of unubiquitinated cyclin B1,102. Data represent mean ± SEM (n = 3).

Fig. S3. E409K mutation does not affect Mad2-dependent BubR1 inhibition of APC/C/Cdc20. (A) Test to determine if E409K mutation affected inhibitory function of BubR1. Wild-type or E409K BubR1 was incubated with Mad2 and bead-bound APC/C/Cdc20 followed by removal of components unbound to APC/C before APC/C activity assay. (B) Plot of percent of APC/C inhibition. Error bars represent SEM (n = 3).
**Fig. S4.** BubR1 binding-defective Bub3 cannot stimulate BubR1-mediated inhibition of APC/C\(^{\text{Cdc20}}\). (A) Purified recombinant wild-type and R183E Bub3, assessed by Coomassie blue staining. (B) GST–BubR1\(^{\text{N}}\) was incubated with wild-type or R183E Bub3 for 1 h at room temperature. The GST protein and associated Bub3 were analyzed by immunoblotting. (C) Test of stimulation of BubR1\(^{\text{N}}\) by wild-type or R183E Bub3. Bead-bound APC/C was incubated with BubR1\(^{\text{N}}\) and Mad2 with or without wild-type or R183E Bub3 before APC/C activity assay.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>iAPC/C</th>
<th>Cdc20</th>
<th>BubR1(^{\text{N}})</th>
<th>Mad2</th>
<th>Bub3(^{\text{WT}})</th>
<th>Bub3(^{R183E})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>- + + +</td>
<td>- 1x</td>
<td>1x 1x</td>
<td>- 1x 1x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-BubR1(^{\text{N}})</td>
<td>Input</td>
<td>GST pull-down</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. S5.** Bub3 binding to the GLEBS motif in BubR1 is required for maximal stimulation of BubR1’s checkpoint function. Test of mitotic checkpoint function of Mis12 or various BubR1 variants. Time-lapse microscopy was used to determine noccodazole-induced mitotic duration after replacing endogenous BubR1 with GFP–Mis12 or GFP–BubR1 variants using siRNA. Data of GFP–Mis12–BubR1\(^{\text{E409K}}\) and GFP–BubR1\(^{\text{E409K}}\)–Bub3 in Fig. 4E were used again here for comparison.
**Fig. S6.** Kinetochore localization of Mad1 and Mad2 is independent of BubR1 localization to the kinetochore. (A) Effect of kinetochore localization of BubR1 on kinetochore localization of Mad1 in a mitotic HeLa cell arrested by monastrol (100 μM). (B) Quantification of Mad1 intensity on kinetochore from A. (C) Effect of kinetochore localization of BubR1 on kinetochore localization of Mad1 in a mitotic cell arrested by monastrol (100 μM). (D) Quantification of Mad1 intensity on kinetochore from C.