Mutant Huntingtin promotes autonomous microglia activation via myeloid lineage-determining factors
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Huntington’s disease (HD) is a fatal neurodegenerative disorder caused by an extended polyglutamine repeat in the N terminus of the Huntingtin protein (HTT). Reactive microglia and elevated cytokine levels are observed in the brains of HD patients, but the extent to which neuroinflammation results from extrinsic or cell-autonomous mechanisms in microglia is unknown. Using genome-wide approaches, we found that expression of mutant Huntingtin (mHTT) in microglia promoted cell-autonomous pro-inflammatory transcriptional activation by increasing the expression and transcriptional activities of the myeloid lineage-determining factors PU.1 and C/EBPs. We observed elevated levels of PU.1 and its target genes in the brains of mouse models and individuals with HD. Moreover, mHTT-expressing microglia exhibited an increased capacity to induce neuronal death ex vivo and in vivo in the presence of sterile inflammation. These findings suggest a cell-autonomous basis for enhanced microglia reactivity that may influence non-cell-autonomous HD pathogenesis.

Reactive microglia are associated with nearly all neurodegenerative diseases1, but the mechanisms underlying microglia activation and their potential contributions to disease progression remain poorly understood. In contrast with Parkinson’s and Alzheimer’s diseases, which are related to a spectrum of genetic mutations and environmental factors2-3, HD is a neurodegenerative disorder caused by specific expansion of a CAG repeat in the coding region of the HTT gene4. This mutation results in an elongated stretch of glutamine near the N terminus of HTT4. Polyclutamine expansions of more than 37 residues initiate a degenerative process that is characterized by the loss of medium spiny neurons in the striatum5. A number of roles of this protein have been uncovered, but the precise mechanism(s) by which mHTT causes dysfunction and degeneration of neurons remains an area of intense research.

Although HD is thought to be primarily caused by mutant protein expression in neurons, HTT is broadly expressed, including high levels of expression in microglia6-7. Despite the fact that microglia comprise <10% of the total brain cells8, this specialized cell population rapidly responds to even minor pathological changes in the brain and may contribute directly to neuronal degeneration by producing an excess of various pro-inflammatory factors9. Several lines of evidence point to altered microglia activation states in the context of HD. Inflammation appears early in the onset of disease10 and reactive microglia are conspicuous even in low-grade HD human brains, suggesting an early microglia response to changes in axons11. In patients’ striatum and cortex, reactive microglia occur in all grades of pathology and accumulate in relation to the degree of neuronal loss11. Furthermore, an in vivo PET study showed that microglia activation correlates with the severity of the pathology in HD patients12. Substantial microglia activation in regions related to cognitive function in HD patients has recently been suggested to predict disease onset13. Monocytes from HD subjects express mHTT and have been reported to be hyperactive in response to stimulation14. A similar pattern was seen in macrophages and microglia derived from R6/2 (ref. 15) and YAC14 HD mouse models. Notably, age-dependent changes in striatal microglial morphology and vasculature in the YAC128 mouse model of HD have been reported16. The cerebrospinal fluid and striatum of HD patients exhibit evidence of immune activation, with upregulation of IL6, IL8 and TNFα14,17. These cytokines can induce a CNS inflammatory response that alters the blood brain barrier and affects neuronal function18, suggesting that they could contribute to disease progression. At a molecular level, dysfunctional kynurenine pathway19, NFXB activation20, cannabinoid receptor 2 signaling21 and P2X7 receptor involvement22 have been reported as potential mechanisms explaining HD inflammation in different mouse models.

Inflammation is normally an adaptive biological response to pathogen infection and tissue injury that serves to engage the immune system and tissue repair mechanisms. In these cases, inflammation resolves following eradication of the inciting stimulus. However, when pathological processes result in a sustained inflammatory response, persistent expression of mediators such as IL6, IL8 and TNFα can contribute to tissue damage and disease progression23. The observation of an inflammatory component of HD raises the question of whether inflammation is the response of surrounding cells to a...
neuron-autonomous degenerative process and/or due to microglia-autonomous immune activation resulting from expression of mHTT. Regardless of the mechanism(s) responsible for microglia activation, the contribution of inflammation to HD pathogenesis remains poorly understood.

We investigated whether mHTT expression alters microglia function in a cell-autonomous fashion. Using genome-wide approaches, we found that mHTT expression in microglia resulted in increases in the expression of several inflammatory response genes even in the absence of pro-inflammatory stimuli. Unexpectedly, this phenomenon was linked to an increase in the expression and transcriptional activities of the myeloid lineage-determining factors PU.1 and C/EBPs. These transcription factors are required for the development and function of the macrophage/microglia lineage\(^9\,\,^{22}\,\,^{24}\) and establish the regulatory potential of these cells by selecting enhancers and promoters that are acted on by signal-dependent transcription factors such as NFκB\(^23\). As a consequence of increased PU.1 and C/EBPs activity, mHTT-expressing microglia exhibited enhanced toxic effects on wild-type neurons in comparison with wild-type microglia \textit{ex vivo} and after sterile inflammation \textit{in vivo}.

**RESULTS**

**mHTT promotes pro-inflammatory gene expression**

To investigate potential cell-autonomous roles of mHTT in microglia, we generated isogenic BV2 microglia cell lines stably overexpressing the first 548 amino acids of the N terminus of human HTT or mHTT. These lines were maintained as pools of clones and cultured for 4 weeks before study to avoid acute effects of lentiviral infection on microglia activation. Deep sequencing revealed that microglia expressing mHTT N548 exhibited higher expression of mRNAs encoding pro-inflammatory factors as compared with cells expressing wild-type HTT N548 in the absence of pro-inflammatory stimulation (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Table 1). Notably, the increase in \textit{Il6} and \textit{Tnf} mRNA (Fig. 1b,c) was similar to the pattern previously observed in HD patients\(^14\,\,^{17}\). Expression of \textit{Spi1} (encoding PU.1), a key factor in myeloid fate determination\(^9\,\,^{24}\,\,^{26}\), was itself upregulated in the presence of mHTT N548 at the mRNA (Fig. 1d) and protein (Fig. 1e) levels. Conversely, in the presence of HTT N548, the expression of PU.1 was downregulated (Fig. 1e). Gene Ontology analysis of the entire set of upregulated genes, observed in presence of mHTT N548, indicated significant enrichment for terms related to innate immunity and inflammation \((P < 1 \times 10^{-15};\) Fig. 1f).
To investigate potential mechanisms leading to the enhanced inflammatory gene expression in microglia expressing mHTT N548, we performed de novo motif enrichment analysis of transcriptional regulatory elements associated with the upregulated genes. Consistent with the upregulation of PU.1 expression, we observed a consensus PU.1 motif as the most enriched regulatory element in the enhancers and promoters of the upregulated genes in microglia cells expressing mHTT N548 (Fig. 2a). A binding motif for NFκB was the next most enriched motif, consistent with a previously described activation of this factor in presence of mHTT\textsuperscript{29}. Given that PU.1 is a key factor in myeloid fate determination\textsuperscript{9,25} and is required for selection of enhancers that are acted on by NFκB\textsuperscript{24}, these findings suggested a potential role of mHTT in priming myeloid cells toward inflammatory activation.

To establish a direct link between the increased expression of PU.1 and target genes, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation coupled to deep sequencing (ChIP-Seq) to quantify PU.1 binding in BV2 microglia cells overexpressing either HTT N548 or mHTT N548. In addition, we performed ChIP-Seq analysis for H3K4me2, a histone modification associated with enhancers and promoters\textsuperscript{27–29}, thereby generating a microglia enhancer ‘atlas’. In BV2 microglia expressing HTT N548, PU.1 was associated with approximately half of the H3K4me2-marked regions, consistent with the binding pattern of PU.1 in macrophages\textsuperscript{24,30} (Fig. 2b). PU.1 peaks in microglia expressing HTT N548 or mHTT N548 largely overlapped, but mHTT N548 expression was associated with a significant number of differential PU.1 peaks (defined by a normalized tag count of >4-fold comparing mHTT N548 to HTT N548), consistent with the increased expression of PU.1 (2,634 differential sites in mHTT N548 cells versus 397 differential sites in HTT N548 cells, $P < 10^{-4}$; Fig. 2c).

Genomic loci encoding mRNAs that were upregulated in BV2 microglia expressing mHTT N548 generally exhibited higher enrichment of PU.1 binding to promoters and enhancers, exemplified by the $Tnf$ locus (Fig. 2d). However, a subset of PU.1 target genes, exemplified by $I l 6$, did not exhibit this pattern (Fig. 2d). This raised the question as to whether additional factors might cooperate with PU.1 to contribute to the observed upregulation of PU.1 target genes. De novo motif analysis of PU.1 binding sites in the vicinity of genes upregulated in the presence of mHTT N548 returned a motif for the C/EBP family of transcription factors (Fig. 2e). Members of the C/EBP family are required for myeloid cell development and induction of several inflammatory mediators\textsuperscript{31,32}. To investigate a potential role of C/EBPs in contributing to expression of a subset of PU.1 target genes, we performed ChIP-Seq analysis for C/EBP\textsubscript{α} and C/EBP\textsubscript{β} in BV2 microglia cells overexpressing HTT N548 or mHTT N548. Notably, we observed a stronger enrichment for C/EBP\textsubscript{α} and C/EBP\textsubscript{β} on the $I l 6$ promoter/enhancer, as well as on $T n f$ promoter/enhancer, in mHTT
N548 cells (Fig. 2f). No differences in Cebpa and Cebpb mRNA expression were observed in microglia overexpressing wild-type or mHTT N548 (Supplementary Table 1). Motif enrichment analysis for C/EBPβ ChiP-Seq confirmed the correlation between this factor and PU.1 binding (Fig. 2g). Analysis of the correlation between the distance from promoter to the nearest C/EBPβ peak as well as from promoter to the nearest PU.1 peak detected by ChiP-Seq and the altered gene expression in the presence of mHTT N548, indicated a higher correlation for C/EBPβ binding and PU.1 binding (10−33 and 10−26, respectively) to induced genes in comparison with the total set of expressed genes (Fig. 2h). Nearly 80% of the upregulated genes in mHTT N548–expressing cells exhibited PU.1 and/or C/EBPβ binding within 5 kb of the transcriptional start site (Fig. 2i). In contrast, the corresponding frequency for all genes was ~51%. Together, these findings indicate a strong relationship of nearby PU.1 and C/EBPβ binding to upregulated genes in mHTT N548–expressing cells.

To confirm and extend the observations obtained from microglia cell lines overexpressing mHTT N548, we next measured the binding to upregulated genes in mHTT N548–expressing cells. (a) PU.1 expression in P0 primary microglia purified from nontransgenic (NnTg) littermates and R6/2 pups. Immunoblot represents lysates of pool of microglia cells obtained from five pups per group. Full-length blot is presented in Supplementary Figure 8. (b) IL6 protein secretion in culture supernatant from P0 primary microglia from R6/2 and nontransgenic littermates. Corresponding increases were observed in bone marrow–derived macrophages (BMDMs) from R6/2 in comparison with primary microglia from non-transgenic littermates. Graph represents mRNA expression observed in the same cells (exon 1), we transfected primary microglia from R6/2 and non-transgenic littermates with specific siRNAs directed against Cebpa, Cebpb or Spi1. The three siRNAs effectively reduced the expression of their target mRNAs by ~80% in comparison with control siRNAs (Supplementary Fig. 2). Knockdown of Cebpa, Cebpb and Spi1 separately greatly reduced the expression of representative PU.1-C/EBPs target genes in R6/2 in comparison with microglia transfected with control siRNA (Fig. 3c,d). These data confirm the requirement of PU.1 and C/EBPs for increased expression of these pro-inflammatory mediators in cells expressing mHTT (exon 1) in the absence of pro-inflammatory stimulation.

mHTT promotes activation of microglia, but not BMDM
To extend these studies to a mouse model that is genetically analogous to human HD, we took advantage of a knock-in model characterized by a 175 CAG expansion in the Htt (Hdh) gene. We evaluated Spi1 mRNA and protein expression as well as the expression of representative PU.1-C/EBPs target genes in primary microglia derived from newborn (P0) wild-type, heterozygous and homozygous mHTT knock-in mice. We observed that Spi1 was significantly upregulated in primary microglia from mice homozygous for mHTT (Q175/Q175), both at the mRNA and protein level (Fig. 4a), in comparison with wild-type HDT-expressing primary microglia cells (Q7/Q7). Consistently, mRNAs from PU.1-C/EBPs target genes Il6, Tlr2 and Lr1f were upregulated in primary microglia from homozygous mutant mice in the absence of pro-inflammatory stimulation (Fig. 4b). Furthermore, we performed RNA-Seq analysis of microglia derived from wild-type and knock-in Hdh175/175 adult symptomatic mice. We verified that the expression of full-length mHTT in vivo in microglia was able to promote a genomewide pro-inflammatory transcription signature similar to that observed in a microglia cell line expressing the N terminus fragment of mHTT (Fig. 4c and Supplementary Table 2).

Compatible with the observation of an increased activity of the myeloid lineage determining factors PU.1-C/EBPs, we also observed
an enrichment for cell proliferation and myeloid differentiation genes in the GO analysis (Fig. 4c). Although the vast majority of HD patients only carry a single allele of mHTT, alterations in gene expression observed in microglia from homozygous Hdh\(^{175/175}\) mice were less obvious in microglia from heterozygous mice. This finding suggests that genetic background, environmental factors, cellular context and age are important for determining penetrance of the mutant allele. Consistent with this, we performed RNA-Seq analysis of BMDMs obtained from the same mice (Supplementary Table 2). The analysis of the transcriptional profiles of BMDMs from wild-type and knock-in Hdh\(^{175/175}\) mice revealed that the expression of Q175/Q175 did not produce the same pro-inflammatory transcriptional activation in BMDMs as we observed in microglia from the same mice (Fig. 4c), most likely reflecting different origins and environmental influences. As further proof of this, global RNA-Seq analysis of wild-type microglia and BMDMs clearly showed a substantial difference between the two myeloid cell populations in terms of basal gene expression programs (Supplementary Fig. 3).

**Pro-inflammatory transcription is specific for mHTT in vivo**

To link the observations obtained ex vivo in primary microglia from different mouse models of HD with the disease progression in vivo, we evaluated the expression of Spi1 and its pro-inflammatory target genes in adult R6/2 mice in comparison with non-transgenic littermates. Increased expression of Spi1 mRNA was already evident in pre-symptomatic mice and was even greater at symptomatic stage in striatum from R6/2 mice (Supplementary Fig. 4a). Concomitantly, we detected an increase in the expression of Il6, Tnf, Ifi1 and Tlr2 mRNA in the same region (Supplementary Fig. 4b–c). No difference in the levels of Cebpα or Cebpβ mRNA expression was observed in striatum from R6/2 and nontransgenic littermates (data not shown). The levels of Spi1 expression as well as the pro-inflammatory gene expression correlated with the disease progression in the R6/2 model of HD. In parallel, we analyzed the expression of PU.1 and specific PU.1–C/EBPα and C/EBPβ target pro-inflammatory genes in spinal cord, cortex and striatum of SOD1\(^{G37R}\) mice, an animal model for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS)\(^{37}\). ALS is also a progressive neurodegenerative disease that primarily affects motor neurons that connect the brain and spinal cord to muscles, resulting in a fatal paralysis a few years after onset\(^{38}\). Even though microglia activation is important for ALS pathogenesis\(^{39}\), PU.1 and specific PU.1–C/EBPα and C/EBPβ target pro-inflammatory genes that were elevated in mutant Huntingtin models were not increased in striatum, cortex or spinal cord from 1-year-old symptomatic SOD1\(^{G37R}\) mice (Supplementary Fig. 5a–e). These results are consistent with a specific, cell-autonomous role of mHTT in promoting basal activation of pro-inflammatory genes via the lineage-determining factors PU.1, C/EBPα and C/EBPβ.

**Enhanced pro-inflammatory transcription in HD brains**

We next investigated the expression of SPI1 (PU.1), CEBPA and CEBPB, as well as their targets in striatum, cortex and monocytes from human HD and control individuals. SPI1 expression was increased in striatal as well as in cortical post-mortem human samples from HD individuals, in concert with increased levels of Il6, Irf1 and Tlr2 mRNAs in the striatum and a trend increase of Il6 and Tlr2 in the cortex of the HD individuals (Fig. 5a–c and Supplementary Fig. 6a,b). No changes in CEBPA and CEBPB mRNAs levels were detected in cortical or striatal samples from HD individuals in comparison with matching controls (data not shown). The expression of SPI1, CEBPA and CEBPB, as well as their target genes, showed no substantial difference in monocytes from HD patients (Fig. 5a–c and Supplementary Fig. 6a,b). These observations confirm and extend the distinct inflammatory profile that has been observed in post-mortem HD brains\(^{14,17}\). We next performed immunostaining for PU.1 in frontal cortex as well as in striatum of five frozen post-mortem samples from HD individuals and matching controls (Fig. 5d). We observed an increase in the number of microglia per section (Fig. 5d), consistent with previous observations\(^{11}\). Quantification of the intensity and distribution of PU.1 staining further revealed a significant increase in PU.1 expression on a per cell basis (~2-fold, \(P = 0.018\) in the striatum and ~2-fold, \(P = 0.0013\) in the cortex; Fig. 5e). These in vivo findings in the brains of patients with HD are therefore consistent with what we observed in primary microglia expressing mHTT isolated from two different rodent models as well as in BV2 microglia expressing mHTT N548.
Effect of mHTT microglia on neurons ex vivo and in vivo

Experiments using neuron and glia co-culture in vitro suggest that activation of innate immunity in the CNS can trigger neuronal death. We recently reported that reduced Nurr1 expression results in neuronal death. We hypothesized that Nurr1 dysfunction could cause exaggerated inflammatory responses to microglia. Specifically, we expected that microglia expressing mHTT would exhibit exaggerated cytokine release and secretion of DAMPs, components of dead neurons and other endogenous molecules, such as IL6, IL12, and TLR2. Given that we observed exaggerated IL6 secretion by mHTT-expressing microglia, we investigated whether neuron-microglia co-culture would exhibit any neurotoxic effects of microglia expressing mHTT on wild-type neurons. This possibility was evaluated by co-culturing mouse embryonic stem cell-derived normal neurons over a substrate of wild-type primary astrocytes. Subsequently, wild-type (Q7/07) or mHTT knock-in microglia (Q175/Q175) cells were added to the culture. The addition of mHTT-expressing microglia, but not wild-type microglia, increased neuronal apoptosis (Fig. 6a).

To extend these observations in vivo, we considered the possibility that sterile inflammation could be triggered in HD patients by endogenous molecules, such as DAMPs, components of dead neurons and protein aggregates. As a means of mimicking sterile inflammation, we performed stereotactic injection of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) into the striatum of 12–15-week-old wild-type or homozygous mHTT knock-in mice (HDx175/175) mice. Given the fact that LPS mainly triggers microglia activation, but has no direct toxic effect on neurons, LPS-induced neurotoxicity is secondary to microglia activation. No difference was detected in the number of degenerating neurons in wild-type versus HDx175/175 mice injected with saline solution as measured by Fluoro-Jade B staining. This result is consistent with the lack of HD symptoms or CNS pathology in HDx175/175 mice at this age. In contrast, LPS induced greater neuronal death in HDx175/175 mice than in wild-type mice (Fig. 6b). As a negative control, no Fluoro-Jade B+ cells were detected in the contralateral non-injected hemispheres. This result is consistent with exaggerated inflammatory and neurotoxic responses of microglia expressing mHTT, but does not exclude the possibility that this was also a result of increased sensitivity of neurons expressing mHTT. To directly address this concern, we mated a mouse expressing the
Expression of the mHTT (exon 1) in microglia was sufficient to induce an increase in the transcription of Spi1, Il6 and Tnf mRNAs in the striatum of RosaHD × Cx3cr1-Cre mice in comparison with nontransgenic littersates (Fig. 7c). We used these and control mice to determine whether expression of mHTT (exon 1) selectively in microglia among brain cells results in exaggerated neuronal death following an inflammatory insult. We performed stereotactic injection of LPS into the striatum of 8-week-old RosaHD × Cx3cr1-Cre and nontransgenic littersates mice. We found that mice expressing mHTT (exon 1) in microglia showed an enhanced incidence of neuronal death in the presence of sterile inflammation in comparison with nontransgenic littersates (Fig. 7d). Taken together, these results demonstrate a potential contribution of microglia activation and inflammation to HD pathogenesis.

DISCUSSION

Previous studies of the inflammatory component of HD have provided evidence for alterations of signal-dependent mechanisms in the brains of HD mouse models, including NFkB activation, cannabinoid receptor 2 signaling, and P2X7 receptor involvement. We found that the expression of mHTT specifically in microglia was sufficient to confer a cell-autonomous increase in pro-inflammatory gene expression and exaggerated neurotoxic effects on wild-type neurons ex vivo and after pro-inflammatory stimulation in vivo. Several lines of evidence support a mechanism in which mHTT exerts a cell-autonomous effect in microglia by increasing the expression and transcriptional activities of the myeloid lineage-determining factors PU.1, C/EBPα and C/EBPβ. Binding sites for PU.1 and C/EBPs were highly enriched in enhancers and promoters associated with the genes exhibiting constitutive upregulation in mHTT-expressing microglia. Expression of PU.1 itself was increased at both the mRNA and protein levels in mHTT-expressing microglia, and ChIP-Seq analysis demonstrated enhanced binding of PU.1 at thousands of genomic locations in these cells. Increased binding of PU.1 was associated with enhanced co-occupancy by C/EBPs, and the combination of enhanced PU.1 and C/EBP binding was highly correlated with increased expression of nearby genes, such as Il6 and Tnf. Furthermore, given that PU.1, C/EBPα and C/EBPβ are lineage-determining factors, we could speculate that their increased activity in mHTT-expressing microglia and the concomitant enrichment for cell proliferation and myeloid differentiation genes in the GO analysis could be implicated in the increased density of microglia observed in HD individuals.

Recent studies have suggested that PU.1, C/EBPs and AP-1 proteins function in a collaborative manner to select a large fraction of the...
functional enhancers in the macrophage that are acted on by signal-dependent transcription factors26 (Supplementary Fig. 7). Of particular relevance to our findings, increased expression of a conditional form of PU.1 resulted in a coordinate increase in PU.1, C/EBPα and C/EBPβ binding throughout the genome, without changes in C/EBPα and C/EBPβ expression26. Our previous studies demonstrated that enhancers selected by PU.1 and C/EBPs provide access to signal-dependent factors such as nuclear receptors and NFκB, providing the basis for cell-specific responses26. Our findings are therefore consistent with a model in which mHTT-induced PU.1 expression drives the selection PU.1–C/EBPs-dependent enhancers that promote expression of pro-inflammatory/neo-toxic mediators in microglia under basal conditions (Supplementary Fig. 7). Eventually, sterile inflammation triggered in HD patients by endogenous molecules, such as components of dead neurons and protein aggregates, could lead to a further microglia activation and result in an increased neuronal death (Supplementary Fig. 7). This mechanism would explain both increases in basal gene expression and enhanced responses to exogenous stimuli and suggests a molecular basis for the state of priming defined recently on the basis of morphological evidence46.

Our findings are consistent with the possibility that exaggerated microglia activation could contribute to the early inflammatory reaction observed in HD11,16,44. In particular, we observed that primary microglia derived from R6/2 mice constitutively express and secrete increased amounts of the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL6. Although a previous study did not find evidence for IL6 secretion by microglia from R6/2 mice in absence of stimulation44, this apparent discrepancy with our data can easily be explained by the fact that we used a more sensitive detection system than that used in the previous study. Our results provide a potential explanation for previous observations of increased IL6 in the cerebrospinal fluid of HD patients before the clinical onset of disease45. Moreover, it has been suggested that microglia can modulate adult neurogenesis, such as when activated by inflammation46. IL6 appears to be one of the key mediators of this anti-neurogenic effect47. This abnormal IL6 secretion, reported here and by others14,17, could also help to explain the impaired neurogenesis that has been reported in several mouse models of HD48–50.

In addition to conferring a cell-autonomous basal increase in expression of pro-inflammatory and neurotoxic mediators, our findings suggest that expression of mHTT in microglia also confers increased sensitivity to extrinsic inducers of inflammation. Because microglia are the primary cells capable of responding to LPS in the brain parenchyma, the observation that Hdh175/175 mice exhibited increased neuronal apoptosis following LPS injection is consistent with exaggerated neurotoxic responses of Hdh175/175 microglia on wild-type neurons ex vivo. The in vivo observation from Hdh175/175 mice could be explained in part by the fact that neurons expressing mHTT are more fragile than normal neurons and therefore more sensitive to the toxic effect induced by mHTT microglia. In our opinion, the in vivo experiment might reflect what could happen in HD patients, where cell-autonomous microglia hyper-activation coupled with neuronal fragility can concur to promote disease progression. Nevertheless, the experiment conducted on mice expressing mHTT (exon 1) in microglia clearly showed that microglia activation in HD is sufficient to promote neuronal degeneration and can have a potential contribution to the pathogenesis of the disease. However, further studies will be required to establish whether selective expression of mHTT in microglia is sufficient to exert neuropathological and behavioral deficits in mice.

In the context of HD, what seems to be a general transcriptional mechanism underlying macrophage and possibly microglia activation appears to be specifically related to the expression of mHTT exclusively in microglia. In fact, the PU.1-C/EBPs–dependent enhanced basal pro-inflammatory genes expression was not observed in the CNS of an animal model for ALS carrying a mutation in the Sod1 gene. This result is particularly relevant considering that ALS is a progressive neurodegenerative disease in which microglia activation has a key role in pathogenesis39.

Notably, the effects of mHTT on PU.1-dependent programs of gene expression appeared to be microglia specific, as we found no differences in PU.1 levels or pro-inflammatory gene expression in BMDMs obtained from R6/2 and Hdh175/175 mice or monocytes from HD individuals. The basis for specific effects of mHTT on microglia gene expression is unclear, but recent lineage tracing experiments provide evidence that microglia are derived from fetal yolk sac progenitors very early in development and represent a self-renewing population of cells that is independent of BMDMs35,41. It is therefore possible that either this unique origin or the specific differentiation program conferred by residence in the CNS determines the cell-autonomous functions of mHTT in microglia. Collectively, our findings reveal a previously unknown and unexpected role of mHTT in disrupting the regulation of microglia identity and function and provide further impetus to better understand the contribution of microglia activation to the pathogenesis of HD.

**METHODS**

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.

**Accession codes.** All ChiP-Seq and RNA-Seq data sets have been deposited in the NCBI GEO database under accession number GSE54443.

**Note:** Any Supplementary Information and Source Data files are available in the online version of the paper.
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ONLINE METHODS

Ethics statement. This study was performed in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the US National Institutes of Health. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of UCSD and every effort was made to minimize suffering. Studies of human brain samples were performed without individually identifiable information. Research undertaken on such specimens did not meet the regulatory definition of human subjects research.

Human samples. Autopsy brain samples from control and HD patients were provided to E.C. from the archives of the Harvard Brain Bank Tissue Resource Center (Ct 6002, Ct 5919, Ct 5074, Ct 5021, AN13574, AN13112, AN08704, AN15088, AN09667, HD 6010, HD 5570, HD 6062, HD 6062, AN06034, AN14935, AN13326, AN18743, AN0094, AN15530, AN13328, AN07121, AN04187, AN14307, AN10314, AN12699, AN13041, AN17896, AN08666, AN01077), from New York Brain Bank at Columbia University (Ct 799, Ct T-168), and from Massachusetts General Hospital (HD 3723, HD 3484). Control subjects were matched to HD patients for sex and age. All diagnoses were based on clinical assessment and histopathological evaluation by experienced neuropathologists according to Vonsattel classification. Fresh blood samples were obtained from J. Corey-Bloom at Shiley-Marcos Alzheimer’s Disease Research Center: 7135, 7150, 7151, 7152, 7153, 6000, 6018, 7082, 7094, 7129.

Cell lines. Murine microglial BV2 cells, primary mouse microglia and mouse astrocytes were maintained with DMEM (Cellgro) supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) fetal bovine serum (FBS, low endotoxin, Hyclone) and penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen).

Mice and isolation of primary cells. C57BL/6, R6/2 and RosAI4 mice were purchased from Jackson Lab. Tg(Cx3cr1-cre)-MW126Gsat mice were generated by Ficoll-Plaque and selected using Pan Monocytes Isolation Kit (Miltenyi, 130-096-537) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Human monocytes have been purified from freshly collected blood samples previously 10–14 days of culture, microglia cells were isolated from astrocytes as described previously 14. Primary neurons were purified from mouse cerebrum using Papain Dissociation System (LKL003153, Worthington) according to manufacturer’s instructions. BDMMs were obtained from adult mice as previously reported 16. Human monocytes have been purified from freshly collected blood samples by Ficol-Plaque and selected using Pan Monocytes Isolation Kit (Miltenyi, #130-096-537) according to manufacturer’s instructions.

Reagents. N terminus human wild-type and N terminus hHtt were cloned from pcAG-Htt1955-150 and pcAG-Htt1955-128Q, respectively, into MCS of pCDH-CMV-MCS-EFI-Puro (System Bioscience) using EcoRI and NotI. Lentiviral production and BV2 cells transduction were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Control cell line was generated by transducing BV2 cells with lentivirus obtained from pCDH-CMV-MCS-EF1-

Adult microglia purification. Mouse microglia were deeply anesthetized and then perfused intracardially with ice-cold DFBS (Mediatech 21-031CV). Cortex, striatum and hippocampus were extracted and gently homogenized in staining buffer (HBSS (Life Technologies, 14175-095), 1% (wt/vol) BSA, 1 mM EDTA) on ice using a 2-ml polytetrafluoroethylene pestle (Wheaton), first in a 14-ml round-bottom tube (BD Falcon, 352059) and then in a 2-ml grinder chamber (Wheaton, 358029). Homogenates were filtered onto a 70-µm cell strainer (BD Falcon, 532530) and centrifuged for 10 min at 400 g. Cell pellets were resuspended in 6 ml of 37% isotonic Percoll (Sigma, P9497) and then underlaid with 5 ml of 70% isotonic Percoll in a 15-ml centrifuge tube (Corning, 430790). Tubes were then centrifuged

Microglia and embryonic stem cell (ESC)-derived neuron co-culture. Mouse E14 ESCs were maintained on gelatin-coated dishes in ES medium (Gibco) supplemented with 15% FBS (Atlanta Biologicals), 2 mM l-glutamine (Gibco), 1X non-essential amino acids (Gibco), 1X sodium pyruvate (Gibco), 55 µM of 2-mercaptoethanol (Gibco) and 1,000 U ml⁻¹ LIF (Millipore), as described previously 51. Neurogen Preparation cells were generated and differentiated as described previously 52. ESCs were grown in suspension in ES medium without LIF for the first day and in N2/B27 medium (DMEM/F12 Glutamax Medium (Gibco) supplemented with 1X B27 (Gibco) and 1X N2 (Gibco) supplemented) supplemented with 500 ng ml⁻¹ Noggin (PeproTech) for four more days. Next, embryoid bodies were dissociated, plated on and maintained on laminin-coated dishes in N2/B27 medium supplemented with 20 ng ml⁻¹ EGF (PeproTech), 20 ng ml⁻¹ FGFR2 (Stemgent), and 10 µg ml⁻¹ heparin (Sigma). Neurogen progenitor cells were differentiated into neurons in N2/B27 medium supplemented with 500 µg ml⁻¹ CAM (Sigma), 0.2 µM ascorbic acid (Sigma), 20 ng ml⁻¹ BDNF (R&D) and 20 ng ml⁻¹ GDNF (R&D) at least 5 before starting a co-culture with primary mouse astrocytes and medium was supplemented with 2% FBS (Hyclone). Primary microglia were plated on neuron-astrocyte co-cultures at 30,000 cells. Microglia triple co-cultures were maintained for another 24 h before proceeding with immunohistochemistry.

TUNEL staining and quantification. Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (wt/vol) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 15 min, blocked and permeabilized with donkey serum (10%, vol/vol) and Triton X-100 (0.1%, vol/vol) in PBS and were incubated overnight with mouse monoclonal TAU1 (1:250, Covance). Next day, cells were incubated with secondary antibodies against mouse and 1 µg ml⁻¹ DAPI. TUNEL staining was performed using ApopTag Fluorescein Direct In situ Apoptosis Detection Kit (Millipore) as described by the manufacturer. Briefly, cells were post-fixed in cooled ethanol:acetic acid (2:1) and incubated with TdT enzyme at 37 °C for 1h. For quantification, epifluorescence images for 20 random fields were taken using Stereo Investigator Software (MBF Biosciences) and number of DAPI¹ neurons, TAU1¹ neurons and TUNEL¹ apoptotic neurons were counted using ImageJ (US National Institutes of Health). The number of apoptotic neurons has been obtained by the ratio between the number of double-positive cells (Apoptag¹, TAU1¹ and DAPI¹ cells)/ total number of double-positive TAU1¹ and DAPI¹ cells. At both steps researchers were blind to the experimental conditions.

Stereoetic injection of LPS in the mouse striatum in vivo. Mice (12–15 weeks of age for HdhQ175/Q175 and HdhQ175/Q175 or 8 weeks of age for RosAHD + Cx3cr1-cre- and nontransgenic littermates) were anesthetized using a mixture of ketamine/xylazine (100 mg per kg, 10 mg per kg) and immobilized in a stereotaxic apparatus. The stereotaxic injection site into the right striatum was AP +0.9 mm, ML +2.25 mm, DV −3 mm from bregma. A stainless steel cannula (5-µm, Hamilton syringe) was inserted and a single 1-µl injection of 5 µg of LPS (Sigma) or 1 µl of PBS was delivered over a 2-min period into the same coordinates.

Immunofluorescence and quantification. 1 week after injection, experimental animals were anesthetized and perfused transcardially with 0.9% (wt/vol) saline followed by 4% paraformaldehyde. The brain samples were post-fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde overnight and equilibrated in 30% (wt/vol) sucrose. Coronal sections of 40 µm were prepared with a sliding microtome and stored in cryoprotectant (ethyleneglycol, glycerol, 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 7.4, 1:1.2 by volume) at −20 °C. IHC and co-labeling immunofluorescence for free-floating sections were performed with Fluoro-Jade B (Chemicon, #AG310) as follows. Tissue sections were mounted onto gelatinized slides and allowed to dry at 25 °C. Slides were immersed in ethanol solution at different descending concentrations and H₂O all
peroxidase-linked secondary antibodies to rabbit or mouse accordingly at 25 °C. The immunoblots were then visualized using the enhanced chemiluminescence method (Amersham).

ELISA for IL6. IL6 quantification in cell culture supernatant have been performed with Mouse Interleukin-6 (IL6) ELISA kit from Thermo Scientific, according to manufacturer's instructions.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP). ChIP was performed as described previously23. Briefly, 20x10⁶ cells were crosslinked in 1% formaldehyde/PBS for 10 min at 25 °C. After quenching the reaction by adding 125 mM glycine, we washed the cells twice with PBS and centrifuged them (8 min, 800 g, 4 °C). Cells were resuspended in swelling buffer (10 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.9, 85 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% (vol/vol) IGEPAL CA-630 by volume, 1 x protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), 1 mM PMSF) for 5 min. Cells were spun down and resuspended in 500 µl of lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 1% SDS, 0.5% (vol/vol) EmpigenBB, 10 mM EDTA, 1 x protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), 1 mM PMSF) and chromatin was sheared to an average DNA size of 300–400 bp by adminis-
tering five pulses of 10-s duration at 10-W power output with 30-s pause on ice using a Misonix 3000 sonicator. The lysate was cleared by centrifugation (5 min, 16,000 g, 4 °C), and supernatant was diluted 2.5-fold with 750-µl dilution buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 0.5% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 1 x protease inhibitor cocktail; Roche). The diluted lysate was pre-cleared by rotating for 2 h at 4 °C with 120 µl 50% Protein A Sepharose Fast Flow (GE Healthcare). The beads were discarded, and 1% of the supernatant was kept as ChIP input. The protein of interest was immunoprecipitated by rotating the supernatant with 2.5 µg antibody overnight at 4 °C, then adding 50 µl blocked Protein A Sepharose and rotating the sample for an additional 1 h at 4 °C. The beads were pelleted (2 min, 1,000 g, 4 °C), the supernatant discarded, and the beads were transferred in 400 µl of wash buffer I (WB1: 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA) into 0.45-µm filter cartridges (Ultrafree MC, Millipore), spun dry (1 min, 2,200 g, 4 °C), washed one more time with WBI, and twice each with WBII (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 500 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA), WBIII (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 250 mM LiCl, 1% IGEPA CA-630, 1% (wt/vol) sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA), and TE. Immunoprecipitated chromatin was eluted twice with 100 µl of elution buffer each (100 mM NaHCO₃, 1% SDS) into fresh tubes for 20 min. Eluates were pooled, the Na⁺ concentration was adjusted to 300 mM with 5 M NaCl and crosslinks were reversed overnight at 65 °C in a hybridization oven. The samples were sequentially incubated at 37 °C for 2 h each with 0.33 µg/ml 1 RNAse A and 0.5 mg/ml 1 protease K. The DNA was isolated using the QiaQuick PCR purification kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. We used antibodies to PU.1 (sc-352), C/EBPα (sc-61), C/EBPβ (sc-150), control rabbit IgG (sc-2077) (Santa Cruz Biotech), H3K4me2 (cat#07-030, Millipore). For all antibodies, the working dilution was 1:1,000.

RNA sequencing. RNA was purified using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) and enriched for Poly(A)-RNA with MicroPoly(A) Purist Kit (Ambion). Subsequently, RNA was treated with TURBO DNase (Ambion), fragmented with RNA Fragmentation Reagents (Ambion) and purified by a P-30 column (Bio-Rad). Fragmented RNA was dephosphorylated with Antarctic phosphatase (New England Biolabs) heat inactivated and precipitated over-night. Poly(A)-tailing and cDNA synthesis was performed as previously described35. For reverse transcription, oligonucleotides with custom barcodes (underlined, slashes represent the four different bar codes) were used: 5'-Phos-CATG/AGC/CTGATGCTGCGACTGAGAACTCT/idSp/CA/AGC/AGA/ACGGCGATACAGGTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTNTVN3'- where idSp represents the internal spacer 1′-deoxyxribosyl (dSpacer). Subsequently, T7-exonuclease was used to remove the excess oligonucleotide. After heat inactiva-
tion, RNA was hydrolyzed by alkaline treatment (100 mM NaOH) and heated at 95 °C for 25 min. The cDNA fragments of 50–150 nucleotides were purified on a denaturing Novex 10% polyacrylamide TBE-urea gel (Invitrogen). The recovered cDNA was circularized, linearized, amplified for 12 cycles, and gel purified as previously described35. The library was sequenced on the Illunima HiSeq 2000 according to the manufacturer's instructions. Reads were aligned to the mouse mm9 genome (NCBI Build 37) using TopHat. RNA-Seq experiments were normal-
ized and visualized using HOMER (http://homer.salk.edu/homer/) to generate custom tracks for the UCSC Genome Browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu/).
Gene expression values were generating for RefSeq annotated transcripts using HOMER and differential expression calculations were performed using edgeR. Gene Ontology analysis was performed using DAVID (http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/). Gene expression clustering was performed using Cluster 3.0 and visualized using Java TreeView. Promoters of regulated genes were analyzed for enriched motifs using HOMER.

**ChIP-sequencing and data analysis.** DNA from chromatin immunoprecipitation (10–50 ng) was adaptor-ligated and PCR amplified according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Illumina). ChIP fragments were sequenced for 36 or 50 cycles on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Reads were aligned to the mouse mm9 genome assembly (NCBI Build 37) using Bowtie allowing up to two mismatches. Only tags that mapped uniquely to the genome were considered for further analysis. ChIP-Seq experiments were normalized and visualized by using HOMER (http://homer.salk.edu/homer/) to generate custom tracks for the UCSC Genome Browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu/). Peak finding, motif finding, and peak annotation were performed using HOMER. Peaks were assigned to gene targets based on the closest RefSeq defined TSS. Randomizations were performed during the HOMER motif finding algorithm. These involved selecting random fragments of genomic DNA to be used as control regions for motif discovery. Randomly selected fragments of DNA were selected to normalize GC% content.

**Statistical analyses.** Standard deviation and Student’s t test and one-way ANOVA were performed with the Prism 4 program. P < 0.05 was considered significant. Unpaired t test was used for comparisons between control and transgenic mice within one group and between control and HD samples. Data are presented as mean ± s.d. For each experiment, a minimum sample size of three biological replicates was analyzed in each experimental condition. No statistical methods were used to pre-determine sample sizes, but our sample sizes are similar to those generally employed in the field. The estimate of the variance within each group was calculated and found to be similar between groups that were being statistically compared.


Supplementary Figure 1: PU.1 and PU.1-C/EBPs target genes are up-regulated in primary microglia but not in BMDM from R6/2 mice. qRT-PCR analysis for Spi1 (a), Il6 (b) and Tnfα (c) mRNAs expression in primary microglia (mean±sd, n=6 biological replicates, two-tailed paired student’s test) and bone marrow derived macrophages (mean±sd, n=5 biological replicates, two-tailed paired student’s test) purified from non-transgenic littermates and R6/2 mice.
Supplementary Figure 2: Effect of siRNA knockdown of PU.1, C/EBPα and C/EBPβ. Efficiency of siRNA knockdown in primary microglia derived from R6/2 mice and nontransgenic littermates as determined by qRT-PCR (mean±sd, n= 3 biological replicates, p values determined by two-tailed paired student t-test).
Supplementary Figure 3: Differential gene expression between Microglia WT and Macrophages WT. Scatter Plot representing the differential gene expression observed in microglia from wild-type $Hdh^{7/7}$ versus BMDM from wild-type $Hdh^{7/7}$ mice.
Supplementary Figure 4: PU.1 and PU.1-C/EBPs target genes are up-regulated in the striatum from R6/2 mice. qRT-PCR analysis for *Sfpi1* (a), *Il6* (b), *Tnfα* (c), *Irf1* (d) and *Tlr2* (e) mRNAs expression in striatum from nontransgenic littermates, pre-symptomatic (5 weeks-old) and symptomatic (10 weeks-old) R6/2 mice. Each dot is representative of one mouse (unpaired student’s test).
Supplementary Figure 5: PU.1 and PU.1-C/EBPs target genes are not differentially expressed in SOD1\textsuperscript{G37R} mouse model of ALS. qRT-PCR analysis for \textit{Sfpi1} (a), \textit{Il6} (b), \textit{Tnfα} (c), \textit{Irf1} (d) and \textit{Tlr2} (e) mRNAs expression in striatum, cortex and spinal cord from nontransgenic littermates and SOD1\textsuperscript{G37R} mice (8-12 months old). Each dot is representative of one mouse (unpaired student’s test).
Supplementary Figure 6: Inflammation in vivo in HD individuals. qRT-PCR analysis for IRF1 (a) and TNFα (b) mRNAs expression in striatum (first column, n= 9 individual per group), cortex (second column, n= 9 individual per group) and monocytes (third column, n= 5 individual per group) from controls and HD individuals. Each dot is representative of one individual. All p values were determined by unpaired student t-test. (c) IHC controls: brain section in presence of rabbit IgG (negative control) (i); spleen section in presence of rabbit IgG (negative control) (ii); spleen section in presence of diluting buffer (BSA, 1% bovine serum albumin in PBS phosphate buffered saline) (iii); H&E staining on spleen section (iv); PU.1 IHC staining on spleen section (positive control) (v); Von Willebrand factor IHC staining on spleen section (positive control for endothelial cells/blood vessels) (vi). Scale bar: 100μm.
Supplementary Figure 7: A model for mechanisms by which mutant Huntingtin influence the selection and activation of microglia enhancers. Left: PU.1 and C/EBPs function in a collaborative manner to select microglia enhancers from inactive chromatin in basal conditions. Pro-inflammatory signals that activate transcription factors such as the p65 component of NFκB lead to inflammatory response. Right: mutant Huntingtin expression enhances this process by increasing PU.1 expression and PU.1-C/EBPs promoter binding, leading to increased enhancer activity under basal conditions that results in increased basal pro-inflammatory and neurotoxic genes expression. This phenomenon increases the sensitivity to pro-inflammatory signals. In fact, under conditions of sterile inflammation mutant Huntingtin-expressing microglia appears to be more efficient in inducing neuronal death.
Supplementary Figure 8: Full-length pictures of the blots presented in the main figures. To examine proteins of interest on the same samples, blots were cut first and then probed with indicated antibodies.