The Release and Purification of Sialic Acids from Glycoconjugates: Methods to Minimize the Loss and Migration of O-Acetyl Groups
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The sialic acids can have O-acetyl esters at the 4, 7, 8, and 9 positions. Most methods for the detailed study of such molecules require their release from glycosidic linkage, followed by extensive purification. The currently used methods for release and purification of sialic acids allow a reasonable qualitative analysis of the diversity of sialic acids from a given biological source. However, for several reasons, quantitative assessment of the degree and type of O-acetylation is not possible with these methods. Previously known problems include the incomplete and non-random release of the different sialic acids by both enzymatic and chemical means, and extensive destruction of the O-acetyl esters (de-O-acetylation) during the release and purification. An additional problem, that migration of O-acetyl groups from the 7 or 8 positions to the 9 position can occur under the conditions of release and purification, particularly when the pH is above 6 or below 3.0, is demonstrated here. It is also shown that the O-acetyl esters on free sialic acids are relatively more stable under acid conditions but more labile under basic conditions than similar esters on bound sialic acids. An analysis of the various steps of the conventional purification procedure showed that exposure to the basic anion-exchange resin is the critical step that results in de-O-acetylation and O-acetyl migration. Based upon these and other findings some new methods have been devised, and several modifications of the existing methods have been suggested, that allow the quantitative release and purification of sialic acids with minimal loss of O-acetyl groups. The migration of O-acetyl groups is also decreased by these modifications, but cannot be completely controlled.

Key Words: sialic acids; O-acetylation; O-acetyl migration; neuraminidases; ion-exchange chromatography; mucins.

The sialic acids are a family of N- and O-substituted derivatives of neuraminic acid, a nine-carbon polyhydroxyamino-ketoacid sugar (5-amino 3,5 di-deoxy-D-glycero-D-galacto-nonulosonic acid). The two principal N-substituted sialic acids, N-acetyleneuraminic acid (Neu5Ac)\(^2\) and N-glycolylneuraminic acid (Neu5Gc)\(^2\) can also be O-substituted at the 4, 7, 8, and 9 positions, giving rise to a great variety of possible compounds and isomers (see Fig. 1) (1,2). For several reasons, early studies of the sialic acids did not fully recognize the extent of this complexity. First, many conventional methods for the analysis of glycoconjugates, such as methanolysis, hydrazinolysis, methylation analysis, and \(\beta\)-elimination could result in destruction of the O-substituent.
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\[ \text{R}_1 = \text{H, ACETYL (4, 7, 8, 9), METHYL (8), or SULFATE (8).} \\
\text{R}_2 = \text{ACETYL or GLYCOLYL} \\
\text{R}_3 = \text{Gal, GalNAc, GlcNAc or S.A.} \]

Fig. 1. The sialic acids. The parent molecule neuraminic acid is shown in partially stylized form in the chair conformation. The individual carbon atoms are numbered from 1 through 9. \( \text{R}_1 \) indicates possible glycosidic linkages, \( \text{R}_2 \) indicates substitutions of the N group, and \( \text{R}_3 \) indicates O-substitutions. The types of substituents that have so far been reported in nature are indicated on the figure for each case.

groups, which are usually O-acetyl esters. Second, the classical method for release of sialic acids from glycoconjugates (0.1 N H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) at 80°C for 1 h) also results in extensive destruction of the O-acetyl groups (3). Third, the presence of O-acetyl groups make the sialic acid molecule partially or completely resistant to release by all available bacterial and viral neuraminidases (sialidases) (1–3).

Schauer and others have shown that the use of milder acid conditions (0.5 M HCOOH at 80°C for 1 h) allows the release and positive identification of many previously undetected O-acetylated sialic acids (2,3). These elegant studies have to date resulted in the identification of more than 25 different kinds of sialic acids in nature, including specific types of O-substitutions in many human (and other mammalian) tissues such as brain, colon, salivary and gastric mucins, and peripheral blood cells (2). These O-substitutions are highly tissue and species specific; they are known to have significant effects on neuraminidase action (2–5), alternate pathway complement activation (6), and bacterial antigenicity (7). Very little else is known about their biological significance.

The positive identification of the different sialic acids requires their release from \( \alpha \)-glycosidic linkage, and then purification for analysis by GLC/MS and TLC. The methods for release and purification described by Schauer and others (3) are well known, and have allowed good qualitative analysis of the nature of the O-acetylated sialic acids in various biological specimens. However, several problems make quantitative studies of O-acetylation very difficult. When concentrated formic acid (pH 2.1) is used for acid hydrolysis as described above, significant destruction of O-acetyl esters still occurs and the release of sialic acids is also incomplete (3,6). Furthermore, the O-acetylated sialic acids are relatively more resistant to release (6,8,9) resulting in selective release of the nonacylated species. As mentioned above, the currently available neuraminidases are also inadequate for quantitative studies because their activity is markedly inhibited by the O-acetyl esters. In addition to these problems, the conventional procedure for the purification of released sialic acids, which consists of dialysis, ether extraction, and combined ion-exchange chromatography, results in extensive and variable (30–60%) losses of O-acetyl groups (3).

A long-term goal of this laboratory is to study the biosynthesis, regulation, and biological significance of O-substitutions of the sialic acids. In these studies it has become necessary to more accurately quantitate the nature and extent of O-acetylation of sialic acids in various biological samples. As a first step, we identified a neuraminidase from \( \text{Streptococcus sanguis} \) whose activity is relatively unaffected by side-chain (7/8/9) O-acetylation (5). In this study we report on further attempts to obtain the quantitative release and purification of sialic acids with minimal loss and migration of O-acetyl groups.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General. The following materials were obtained from the sources indicated: Trimethylsilylimidazole (TSMI), Pierce Chemical Company; Dowex 1 AG 1×8 (100–200 mesh, for-

3 A. Varki and S. Diaz, unpublished observations.
mate form), Dowex 3×4A (100–200 mesh, chloride form), and Dowex 50 AG 1×2 (100–200 mesh, hydrogen form), Bio-Rad; 3% OV-17 on Gas ChromQ (100/120 mesh) and 3.8% SE-30 on CW-AW-DMCS (80/100 mesh), Applied Sciences Laboratories; butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), Sigma. The Dowex 3×4A resin was converted to the formate form, as recommended by the manufacturer. Diazomethane in ether was kindly provided by Dr. Alan Hoffman, division of gastroenterology, UCSD School of Medicine. All other chemicals were of reagent grade and were purchased from commercial sources. The immersible CX-10 reverse ultrafiltration units were from Millipore, and the Centrifree micropartition units from Amicon. Unless otherwise indicated, all evaporations of aqueous samples were performed on a Buchler shaker-evaporator with the water bath set at 35°C or a Savant centrifuge evaporator with the heating element turned on (temperature at 35–40°C.)

**Standards, substrates, and enzymes.** [4–14C]-N-acetylneuraminic acid was from New England Nuclear; chemically synthesized N-acetylneuraminic acid (Neu5Ac) (>99% purity) was from Kantoishi Pharmaceutical Company, Tokyo, Japan; N-glycolyneuraminic acid (Neu5Gc) was from Sigma; and sialic acids from bovine submaxillary mucin (a mixture of Neu5Ac, Neu5Gc, Neu5,9Ac₂, Neu5,7Ac₂, Neu5,7(8),9Ac₃, and Neu5Gc-9Ac) were prepared as previously described (3,5). Authentic Neu5,9Ac₂ was kindly provided by Professor Roland Schauer, Kiel, Federal Republic of Germany. Bovine submaxillary mucin was from U. S. Biochemical Corporation. Edible bird’s nest (Wai Tai Hong, Hong Kong) was purchased from a Chinese grocery store, and collocalia mucoid (CM) was extracted from it as previously described (5,10). Equine submaxillary mucin (ESM) was prepared from fresh glands as previously described (5). S. sanguis neuraminidase was purified as described (5). Vibrio cholerae and Arthrobacter ureafaciens neuraminidases were purchased from Calbiochem.

**Clostridium perfringens** neuraminidase (Type X) was from Sigma.

**Analysis of sialic acids.** For general purposes, the ferric-orcinol and 2-thiobarbituric acid (TBA) assays were used to assay total and free sialic acids, respectively (3,11). In the case of the TBA assay, analytical de-O-acetylation was always carried out (see below) to quantify the interference caused by the presence of O-acetyl substituents at the 7, 8, or 9 positions (6,12). Also, 1 µl of 1% BHT in ethanol was added prior to acid hydrolysis; we have previously shown that this retards lipid peroxidation and generation of interfering chromophores with absorption maximum at 532 nm (6). Purified sialic acids were analyzed by gas-liquid chromatography on 3% OV-17 (trimethylsilyl esters, trimethylsilyl ethers), or on 3.8% SE-30 (Methyl esters, trimethylsilyl ethers), exactly as described by Schauer (3). The total O-acyl content of various sialomucins was also directly determined by the alkaline Hestrin method (3).

**RESULTS**

**De-O-Acetylation of Sialic Acids**

It is well known that O-acetylation of the sialic acid side chain can cause considerable interference with the TBA assay, depending upon the position and extent of substitution (3,12). O-acetyl esters were therefore hydrolyzed in base prior to the TBA reaction to eliminate this interference (6,12). In exploring different alkaline conditions to optimize this de-O-acetylation, we found that the O-acetyl esters on free sialic acids were significantly more labile than those on bound sialic acids (detailed data not shown). We found that de-O-acetylation of free sialic acids can be carried out in 2 M NH₄OH (pH 10.5) at room temperature for 6 h or at 60°C for 1 h. In the case of glycosidically bound, O-acetylated sialic acids these conditions were inadequate, and it was necessary to use stronger base for complete de-O-acetylation. The sample was adjusted to 0.1 N NaOH (pH 13), and the
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Fig. 2. Demonstration of the migration of O-acetyl groups in sialic acids. Sialic acids were released from 100 mg of bovine submaxillary mucin by treatment with 2 M acetic acid at 80°C for 3 h (see Fig. 3). After chilling, insoluble material was removed by centrifugation at 50,000g for 30 min. The supernate was fractionated in an Amicon Centrifree micropartition system and the ultrafiltrate was taken to dryness with a Buchler shaker-evaporator apparatus with the water bath set at 35°C. The residue was brought up in 0.5 ml of water and immediately passed over a 1.5-ml column of Dowex 50 AG 1×2 (hydrogen form). The run-through and water washings (4 ml) were collected into a tube containing 40 μl of 1 M formic acid, and assayed for total sialic acid content. Aliquots containing 300 nmol total sialic acids were taken to dryness and then brought up in 4 ml of pyridine acetate buffer (20 mM in pyridine) at the various pH values indicated (except pH 2.5, which was obtained with 4 ml of 20 mM formic acid). The samples were then incubated for 16 h at the temperatures indicated, and then taken to dryness quickly in the same tubes using the shaker–evaporator. The samples were transferred to reactivials in 200 μl of 10 mM formic acid and then taken to dryness again in a Savant centrifuge evaporator. The sialic acids were then analyzed as their methyl esters, trimethylsilyl ethers on 3.8% SE-30 exactly as described by Schauer (3). Six separate tracings are superimposed in this figure for convenience. The various conditions of preincubation are indicated in the figure. The elution times of the various sialic acids are based on known standards (Neu5Ac, Neu5,9Ac2, and Neu5Gc) and on the literature (Neu5,7/8Ac2, Neu5Gc9Ac, and Neu5Gc7/8Ac2). In addition, the O-acetylated sialic acids were identified by the fact that these peaks were eliminated by prior treatment with mild mixture placed on ice for 45 min and then neutralized (6,12).

Migration of O-Acetyl Groups in the Sialic Acids

Bovine submaxillary mucin (BSM) contains Neu5Ac and Neu5Gc that is also O-acetylated to a variable extent at the 7, 8, and 9 positions (2). We noticed that when sialic acids were purified from a single batch of BSM on different occasions, the yield of the different isomers was quite variable. Furthermore, upon prolonged storage of a mixture of purified sialic acids from BSM, the ratio of the various isomers changed, without any overall loss of O-acetyl groups. On the other hand, a sample of pure Neu5,9Ac2 remained unchanged during storage under identical conditions. We analyzed this phenomenon further by prolonged incubation of freshly purified BSM sialic acids at different pH values and temperatures, followed by analysis by GLC. Some typical results are shown in Fig. 2. At pH values of less than 7.5, there was a partial or complete loss of the peak containing the comigrating 7 or 8 mono-O-acetylated isomers (Neu5,7/8Ac2) with a corresponding increase in the peak of 9 mono-O-acetylated species (Neu5,9Ac2). This shows that O-acetyl migration can occur from the 7 or 8 positions to the primary hydroxyl group at the 9 position, where the O-acetyl group is more stable. This effect was pH dependent, and increased at pH values of greater than 6 and less than 3. At pH values higher than 7.5 the O-acetyl migration is rapid, but this is also accompanied by significant de-O-acetylation.

Release of Sialic Acids from Glycoconjugates

Acid hydrolysis. The commonly used conditions for complete release of sialic acids (0.1

alkali (2 M NH2OH, at 60°C for 60 min), with corresponding increase in the amounts of the Neu5Ac and Neu5Gc peaks (not shown).
N H$_2$SO$_4$, 80°C, 1 h) result in extensive destruction of O-acetyl groups and even in some destruction of the sialic acid molecule itself (3). Milder conditions have therefore been used (0.5 N formic acid, pH 2.1, 80°C, 1 h). With this method less destruction of O-acetyl groups occurs, but the release is incomplete (40–60%) (3). Also, since the O-acetylated sialic acids are relatively more resistant to release, selective release of the nonacetylated molecules occurs. We therefore investigated the effects of some other weak acids on O-acetylated sialic acids. We found that when a mixture of previously purified sialic acids from BSM (O-acetylated at the 7, 8, or 9 positions) was heated in 2 M acetic acid (pH 2.5) at 80°C for 1 h and then reexamined by GLC there was no detectable loss of O-acetyl groups. When the heating period was extended to 3 h, there was only a 4% loss of O-acetyl groups. Furthermore, when non-O-acetylated Neu5Ac was heated under identical conditions for 3 h, there was no evidence of chemical O-acetylation or of any destruction of the neuraminic acid molecule (detailed data not shown). This suggested that prolonged hydrolysis under such conditions might be successful in achieving near-quantitative release of bound sialic acids without excessive losses of O-acetyl groups.

As model compounds to test this hypothesis, we used three well-known sialomucins, bovine submaxillary mucin (Neu5Ac and Neu5Gc with ~80% O-acetyl substitution at the 7, 8, or 9 positions), equine submaxillary mucin (Neu5Ac with ~80% O-acetyl substitution at the 4 position and an unknown extent of 9-O-lactyl substitution) (3,13), and collocalia mucoid (Neu5Ac with no substitution). Shown in Fig. 3 are the effects of prolonged heating of these different mucins at 80°C in 2 M acetic acid. In each case, aliquots were removed at hourly intervals, lyophilized to remove the acetic acid, and then analyzed for total free sialic acid (by the TBA reaction after de-O-acetylation) and for total remaining O-acyl esters by the alkaline Hestrin method. It can be seen (Fig. 3B) that under these conditions the sialic acids are gradually released over a period of hours, at different rates. In keeping with previous studies (6,8,9), O-acetylated sialic acids of BSM and ESM are released at a slower rate than the non-O-acetylated sialic acids of CM. These differences in rates of release could be due to the O-acetylation, to differences in the sialic acid linkage, or to other nonspecific factors. To test this, the sialic acids

![Fig. 3. Release of sialic acids from various mucins by concentrated acetic acid. Sialic acids were released from bovine submaxillary mucin (C), equine submaxillary mucin (E), and collocalia mucoid (●) with (A) or without (B) prior de-O-acetylation (0.1 N NaOH for 45 min on ice). In each case the reaction mixture was adjusted to a final concentration of 2 M acetic acid and heated at 80°C. At the various time points indicated, aliquots were removed and assayed for total free sialic acid by the TBA method. In the case of samples of that had not been previously treated with base (B) the acetic acid was removed by lyophilization, and de-O-acetylation was carried out prior to performing the TBA assay. Aliquots from the experiment shown in (B) (containing about 300 nmol of sialic acid each) were also removed at the various time points indicated, lyophilized, and assayed for total O-acyl ester content by the alkaline Hestrin method. The results are shown in (C).]
were de-O-acetylated prior to the acid hydrolysis. When this was done, the rates of release from the three mucins became nearly identical (Fig. 3A), suggesting that the O-acetylation was responsible for the differences. The total O-acyl ester content of the ESM and BSM was also directly determined at several points during the hydrolysis (Fig. 3C). Unfortunately the O-acyl content of the native, unhydrolyzed mucins could not be accurately determined by this method because of problems with turbidity. However, from the first hour of hydrolysis onwards, the rate of O-acyl loss could be followed (Fig. 3C). In the case of BSM the rate of loss appears to be quite low (~8% per hour between the 1 and 5 h time points). However, this is a significantly higher rate of O-acetyl loss than predicted from the pilot experiment in which free sialic acids were heated under identical conditions (see above). This suggests that the O-acetyl esters at 7, 8, and 9 positions are somewhat more labile to acid when they are on bound sialic acids. With ESM, there appears to be a more rapid initial loss of O-acyl groups, possibly representing loss of O-lactyl esters that are known to exist in this sialomucin (12,13); thereafter, the rate of loss is similar to that seen in BSM.

These data suggest that prolonged hydrolysis at 80°C in 2 M acetic acid may be a good method for obtaining maximal release of O-acetylated sialic acids with relatively low losses of O-acyl groups. The exact hydrolysis time required will vary with different glycoconjugates (e.g., gangliosides are known to require more prolonged hydrolysis (2,3)) and with different types of O-acetylation. These conditions also may not be optimal for other sialic acid substitutions such as O-lactyl groups. Although some degree of migration of O-acetyl groups will probably occur under these conditions, it is not complete, since 7/8 mono-O-acetylated sialic acids can be found after such hydrolysis (see Fig. 1). An additional advantage of this method is that regardless of the presence of other more dilute salts and buffers in the original sample to be hydrolyzed, the adjustment to 2 M acetic acid results in a reproducible pH value in the range of 2.4 to 2.5.

Enzymatic release. We have also explored the use of neuraminidases in obtaining quantitative release of sialic acids from glycoconjugates. The commercially available neuraminidases from V. cholerae and C. perfringens have markedly decreased activity against side-chain (7/8/9), O-acetylated sialic acids, particularly the di-O-acetylated species (5). We have previously described a neuraminidase of S. sanguis that is not restricted by the type of sialic acid linkage, or by the presence of O-acetyl groups at the 7, 8, and 9 positions (5) (see Table 1). We3 and others4 have also recently found that the A. ureafaciens neuraminidase (which is now commercially available) is effective against many O-acetylated sialic acids; additionally it is known to be effective against the GM1 linkage (14). We therefore now routinely use a mixture of the latter two enzymes when dealing with samples with unknown sialic acid composition. It should be noted that under the conditions of a prolonged enzyme reaction a significant amount of migration of O-acetyl groups does occur.3

However, sialic acids with 4-O-acetyl groups are resistant to the action of these enzymes as they are to every other known neuraminidase (3-5). Fortunately, this type of sialic acid has only been reported so far in equine species and in Australian monotremes (3,13).

Separation of Released Sialic Acids from Macromolecular Materials

The first step in the purification of released sialic acids is their separation from macromolecular materials. This is usually achieved by prolonged and repeated dialysis against distilled water, followed by concentration of the pooled dialysates (3). During this process, some de-O-acetylation and O-acetyl migration

4 A. Shukla and R. Schauer, personal communication.
TABLE I

COMPARISON OF METHODS FOR RELEASE OF SIALIC ACIDS FROM GLYCOCONJUGATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Non-O-acetylated</th>
<th>Mono-O-acetylated (7, 8, or 9)</th>
<th>Di-O-acetylated (7, 8, 9)</th>
<th>Destruction of O-acetyl groups</th>
<th>Migration of O-acetyl groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acid hydrolysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 N H$_2$SO$_4$ (pH 1.0) 80°C x 1h</td>
<td>&gt;90%</td>
<td>&gt;90%</td>
<td>&gt;90%</td>
<td>&gt;90%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 M HCOOH (pH 2.0) 80°C x 1h</td>
<td>~50%</td>
<td>~50%</td>
<td>(?)</td>
<td>10-25%</td>
<td>+ (?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 M CH$_3$COOH (pH 2.5) 80°C x 3-5 h$^b$</td>
<td>&gt;90%</td>
<td>&gt;90%</td>
<td>&gt;90%</td>
<td>&lt;10-20%</td>
<td>+ (?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzymatic release</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibrio cholerae</td>
<td>&gt;90%</td>
<td>&gt;90%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+ $^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuraminidase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus sanguis</td>
<td>&gt;90%</td>
<td>&gt;90%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt;90%</td>
<td>+ $^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuraminidase</td>
<td>&gt;90%</td>
<td>&gt;90%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt;90%</td>
<td>+ $^d$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: This table is a composite summary of the findings from several experiments reported in this study and in Refs. (5) and (6). The question marks (?) indicate that accurate data could not be obtained for technical reasons. NA = Not applicable, because of loss of O-acetyl groups.

$^a$ Some destruction of the sialic acid molecule itself occurs under these conditions.

$^b$ Time must be individualized for each glycoconjugate (see text).

$^c$ Prolonged treatment with an excess of enzyme is required to achieve complete release.

$^d$ The extent of migration will depend upon the pH and the duration of the enzyme reaction.

occur and the recovery is somewhat poor. We have explored two new methods to improve this process, using an Amicon Centrifree micropartition system or a Millipore CX-10 immersible ultrafiltration capsule. Both devices were preswashed to remove preservatives and used exactly as recommended by the respective manufacturers. Both allow the rapid collection of an ultrafiltrate with a molecular weight cutoff limit at about 10,000. The Millipore immersible CX-10 reverse filtration capsule is operated using a conventional vacuum source; when more than 90% of the solution has been extracted, the volume is then made up to the original level with 10 mM formic acid and the filtration is repeated. The Amicon Centrifree system simply requires a fixed-angle rotor head that can generate 1600g for 30-60 min. These approaches were compared directly with the conventional dialysis method by passing acid-released sialic acids from BSM containing a [$^{14}$C]Neu5Ac tracer thru each of the three separation methods. Recoveries of radioactivity and TBA-positive material were monitored, and the loss or migration of O-acetyl groups was monitored by gas-liquid chromatography. A summary of the results and an overall comparison of the three methods are shown in Table 2. Both new methods gave superior recoveries of the free sialic acids, and are less time consuming. Of the two, the Millipore system requires relatively more time and is performed at room temperature; it is therefore likely to result in some degree of O-acetyl migration. The Amicon system is very rapid and efficient and is carried out at 4°C; however, only small volumes (1-2 ml) can be handled by the currently available cartridges.
TABLE 2

COMPARISON OF METHODS FOR THE SEPARATION OF RELEASED SIALIC ACIDS FROM MACROMOLECULAR MATERIALS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Recovery of sialic acids</th>
<th>Destruction of O-acetyl groups</th>
<th>Migration of O-acetyl groups</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Maximum volume of starting mixture</th>
<th>Recovery of macromolecular materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Conventional dialysis</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>0-10% a</td>
<td>1 b</td>
<td>24-36</td>
<td>4°C</td>
<td>~10 ml</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Vacuum reverse dialysis</td>
<td>&gt;90%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>+ b</td>
<td>6 c</td>
<td>Room temperature</td>
<td>~10 ml</td>
<td>~90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>millipore CX-10 device</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Amicon centrifree unit</td>
<td>&gt;90%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.5 c</td>
<td>4°C</td>
<td>1-2 ml</td>
<td>~90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Sialic acids were released from bovine submaxillary mucin with 2 M CH₃COOH at 80°C for 3 h. [¹⁴C]Neu5Ac (90,000 cpm) was added to monitor subsequent recovery. The hydrolysate was divided into three equal portions of 1 ml each. The released sialic acids were separated from the macromolecular material by the three methods indicated above. The low molecular weight fraction in each case was lyophilized to remove the acetic acid, brought up in 0.5 ml of water, and passed over a 1-ml column of Dowex-50 AG 1×2 (hydrogen form). The run-through and 4 ml of water washings were collected into a tube containing 40 µl of 1 M HCOOH and taken to dryness. The recoveries at each step were monitored by counting a 1% aliquot. The sialic acids were then analyzed for O-acetyl loss and migration by gas-liquid chromatography.

* Depends on the time and conditions of dialysis (see also Ref. (25)).

† The extent of migration of O-acetyl groups depends upon the time and the conditions of the procedures.

‡ More time may be required for viscous materials.

Problems with the Purification of the Released Sialic Acids

The currently used method for purification of released sialic acids includes dialysis (see above), ether extraction to remove lipids, and combined ion-exchange chromatography with Dowex 50 (hydrogen form) and Dowex 1 or 2 (formate form) (3). This procedure results in variable (30-60%) losses of O-acetyl groups, with varying degrees of migration of O-acetyl groups, and a 40-50% yield (3). In addition, all steps must be carried out at 4°C. We analyzed this procedure to identify exactly which step(s) resulted in the de-O-acetylation and migration. This was done by passing previously purified BSM sialic acids through the individual steps and reanalyzing them by GLC. An example from several experiments is shown in Table 3. For the reasons mentioned above, the conventional dialysis step was replaced by vacuum reverse dialysis filtration with the Millipore CX-10 device in these experiments. We found that the major step responsible for the de-O-acetylation was the coupled ion-exchange procedure during which the sialic acids have prolonged contact with the basic anion-exchange resin.

Careful buffering of the Dowex-1 column with sodium acetate buffer, pH 5.5, and minimization of the time spent by the sialic acids on the column resulted in some improvement in the amount of de-O-acetylation. We also tried weaker anion-exchange columns such as Dowex AG 3×4A and Bio-Rex-70 (both converted to formate form and carefully buffered in 10 mM sodium formate, pH 5.5). Under these conditions, Dowex 3×4A, a weak tertiary
TABLE 3

IDENTIFICATION OF THE STEP CAUSING DEO-ACETYLATION DURING PURIFICATION OF SIALIC ACIDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. pH 2.1, 80°C, 1 h.</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Vacuum reverse dialysis</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Ether extraction</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Dowex 50 (H⁺ form)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Dowex 1 (formate form)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage loss of O-acetylation</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Previously purified BSM sialic acids were put through various steps of the conventional purification procedure (3) as indicated. Steps 2, 3, and 4 were carried out at room temperature. When step 5 was included it was carried out as combined ion-exchange chromatography at 4°C exactly as described (3).

* Using a Millipore CX-10 vacuum reverse ultrafiltration device (see Table 2).

The repurified sialic acids were analyzed by gas-liquid chromatography. The relative peak areas were used to calculate the percentage of O-acetylation. (Correction was not made for differences in relative detector response of the different sialic acid derivatives).

amine column, will bind all the sialic acids in a mixture from bovine submaxillary mucin. After washing the column with 10 mM formic acid, all the sialic acids could then be eluted with 1 M formic acid. Even when run at room temperature, this column caused only a 4% loss of O-acetylation from a mixture of BSM sialic acids (data not shown).

Suggested Method for Release and Purification of Sialic Acids from Glycoconjugates

Based on the experiments described above, we have adopted the following general procedure for the release and purification of sialic acids from glycoconjugates. The total sialic acid content of the material is determined by performing a TBA assay after de-O-acetylation and hydrolysis in 0.1 N H₂SO₄ at 80°C for 1 h. Aliquots of the sample containing 10 nmol of total sialic acids are then heated for 3, 4, or 5 h in 2 M acetic acid at 80°C. The hydrolysates are lyophilized, subjected to de-O-acetylation, and then analyzed for total free sialic acid. The time required for maximal release of sialic acids is thus determined, and then applied to the entire sample. If more accurate quantitation of the degree of O-acetylation is desired, the sialic acids are released by treatment with a mixture of neuraminidases from *S. sanguis* and *A. ureafaciens*. The enzyme treatment is carried out at pH 6 in buffers containing only salts of weak acids, e.g., formate and acetate (this avoids generation of strong acids at the subsequent Dowex-50 step). In both cases, the adequacy of the release is checked by the TBA reaction (after de-O-acetylation in 0.1 N NaOH for 45 min on ice), and compared with the total content as determined above.

Following the release by acid or enzyme treatment, the reaction mixture is chilled, and any particulate material is removed by centrifugation. The released sialic acids are then separated from macromolecular material by an Amicon Centrifree micropartition system. If the volume is large, vacuum reverse dialysis with a Millipore CX-10 device is used instead, and the level of fluid is maintained using 10 mM formic acid. To remove lipid impurities that may interfere with subsequent GLC analysis, the ultrafiltrate (buffered at pH 5.5 to 6 in sodium formate) is extracted three times
with 5 vol of ethyl ether. Residual ether is then removed under a stream of nitrogen and the sample is applied to a 1-ml column of Dowex 50 AG 1×8 (hydrogen form) in water. (It is important to perform the Dowex 50 step prior to anion-exchange chromatography; otherwise there is considerable interference with maximal binding to the anion exchange resin, possibly caused by cations). The column is washed with water and the column washings (4–5 ml) are collected in a tube containing 40 μl of 1 M formic acid. The pooled, acidified washings are taken to dryness, to remove the weak acids generated by the column. If the starting materials were relatively clean, the purification may be stopped at this step, and the sialic acids analyzed by GLC/MS or TLC, thus avoiding any potential problems arising from the anion-exchange step (see Fig. 2 for an example). If the salts of strong acids and/or nonvolatile weak acids were present prior to the Dowex-50 chromatography, the washings must be immediately frozen and lyophylized. Otherwise, the aqueous phase is taken to dryness at 35°C. For anion-exchange chromatography the sample is next brought up in 0.5 ml of 10 mM sodium formate, pH 5.5, and applied to a 1-ml column of Dowex 3×4A (formate form) equilibrated in 10 mM sodium formate, pH 5.5. The column is immediately washed with 5 ml of 10 mM formic acid; the washings are discarded. The sialic acids are then eluted with 10 ml of 1 M formic acid; the eluate is taken to dryness. We have found that unknown (cationic?) substances generated from the anion-exchange column can cause considerable interference with derivatization for subsequent GLC analysis, especially when the amount of sialic acids is small (a similar problem is seen with Dowex-1 chromatography). This problem can be eliminated if the Dowex-50 chromatography is repeated after the anion-exchange step, exactly as described above. It is useful to add a tracer quantity of [14C]Neu5Ac to the starting material to monitor recoveries at each step.

When sialic acids were purified from bovine submaxillary mucin using this method (release by 2 M acetic acid) there was 71% O-acetylation in the final product as compared with 49% with the previously described method (3). When the purified sialic acids were passed through this procedure again, they were recovered with a 91% yield and less than 5% loss of O-acetyl groups. All steps in this procedure can be carried out at room temperature, if preservation of total O-acetylation is the only concern. However, if prevention of migration of O-acetyl groups is critical it is preferable to carry out the purification at 4°C. As a further precaution against O-acetyl migration, 1 M pyridine acetate buffer, pH 5.5, can be used in place of 1 M formic acid at the various steps.

**DISCUSSION**

In a previous study on the role of sialic acids in alternate complement pathway activation, we have shown that while the extent of O-acetylation of sialic acids can have biological significance, accurate quantitation is extremely difficult (6). In this study we have demonstrated that the problems with quantitation include not only nonrandom release of sialic acids and de-O-acetylation, but also O-acetyl migration in the exocyclic side chain. We have also shown that the lability of the O-acetyl esters to basic and acidic conditions is different for bound and free sialic acids.

The possibility of migration of O-acetyl groups in the sialic acids was first suggested by Schauer (2). In this study, we have shown that migration of O-acetyl groups from the 7 or 8 positions to the 9 position occurs rapidly above pH 7 at ambient temperatures. While this work was in progress, similar findings were reported by Kamerling *et al.* using the more direct methods of NMR spectroscopy, and HPLC analysis of underivatized samples (15). These authors report $T_{1/2}$ values for migration at 37°C in Tris buffer ranging between 1 min at pH 9.0 and 600 min at pH 7.0. We have found that some degree of migration occurs...
even between pH 3 and 6 in pyridine acetate buffer (see Fig. 1); furthermore, the rate of migration again increases when the pH goes below 3. This pattern of pH dependence is very similar to that reported for O-acyl migration in the lysophospholipids (16, 17). It is quite possible that the mechanism of O-acyl migration in the sialic acids is also similar to that proposed for the lysophospholipids, with the formation of a cyclic ortho ester intermediate (17).

Significant de-O-acetylation of the sialic acids does not seem to occur between the pH values of 3 and 6; the modifications in the release and purification procedures described here take this into account. Under similar conditions, O-acyl migration is also retarded. However, for the reasons mentioned above, complete control of this problem is very difficult, no matter what precautions are employed. We feel that it is therefore necessary to use independent methods to directly quantitate 7-, 8-, and 9-O-acetylation prior to release of the sialic acids from the glycoconjugate, e.g., vinylation of free hydroxyl groups (18, 19) or by assay of formaldehyde released by mild periodate (6, 20).

Based upon these findings, we have developed procedures for the release and purification of sialic acids that involve some new methods, and several modifications of previously described methods. We emphasize, however, that we have only studied a few model compounds, and it is important to check the adequacy of these conditions for each kind of biological specimen that is studied. Also, we have not studied the rarer O-lactyl, O-methyl, and O-sulfate groups that have been reported in the sialic acids (2, 13, 21–23). The methods we have described here allow the quantitative evaluation of the nature and extent of O-acetylation of sialic acids in biological samples, to a degree that was previously not possible. We are currently using these methods to study the biosynthesis and regulation of O-acetylated sialic acids in tissue culture cells and in colonic mucosal diseases.
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Note added in proof. This study has concerned itself primarily with the analysis of side-chain (7/8/9) O-acetylation. Since this work was submitted, others have found that the O-acyl esters on 4-O-acetylated sialic acids are labile even under the mild acid conditions reported here (J. Paulson, T. Pritchett, personal communication). We emphasize that our results obtained with (7/8/9) mono-O-acetylated sialic acids should not be extrapolated to other substituted sialic acids.
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